**Egyptian Poultry Science Journal** 

http://www.epsj.journals.ekb.eg/

ISSN: 1110-5623 (Print) - 2090-0570 (Online)



# IMPROVING GROWTH TRAITS AND ESTIMATING HETEROSIS, ADDITIVE AND MATERNAL EFFECTS A CROSS DIALLEL MATING AMONG THREE LINES SELECTED HEAVIEST BODY WEIGHT UNDER HEAT CONDITION Lamiaa M. Radwan<sup>1</sup> and M.Y.Mahrous<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Poult. Prod. Dep., Fac. of Agric., Ain Shams Univ., Cairo,Egypt. **Corresponding Author**: Lamiaa M. Radwan E-mail: <u>Lamia\_radwan@agr.asu.edu.eg</u> Received: 16/07/2018 Accepted: 19/08/2018

**ABSTRACT:** A crossbreeding experiment was executed between three breeds were selected three generation for heavy body weight under high temperature condition Sinai (SI) and Rhode Island Red (RI) and Fayoumi breeds. 900 crossing meals from each genotype were recorded singular body weight and body weight gain at hatch, 4, 8 and 12 weeks of age. crosses SI XRI and reciprocal RI x Si significant heaver body weight and body weight gain compared than other crosses and pure breed. On the other hand body weight and body weight gain were significant heaver for pure line Sinai or Rod iland read and crosses SI x F, F x RI, Fx SI and RI xF compared to Fayoumi pure line. Heterosis was positive significant on body weight at hatch, 4,8 and 12 weeks of ages. As the same trend results of body weight gain but, negative significant at the intervals

from 4wks to 8wks only. It could be observed that the hybrid vigor of body weight was significant positive effect for crosses SI X RI and reciprocal crosses RI XSI and RI XF ones.

While, the hybrid vigor of body weight gain was significant positive effect for all diallel crossing except F X RI crosses. the direct additive effects were negative for line Fayoumi both body weight and weight gain taits at diferent age of these study. But these reciprocal effect were significant positive for Sinai and Rodiland red lines both body weight and body weight gain. These resuls shown that the croses RI XSI beter than SI X RI for both body weight and body weight gain. Maternal effect of body weight and body weight gain were significant positive effect at hatcher , for FF and SI SI. While, it was significant negative effect for RIRI. On the other hand, were significant positive for body weight at hatcher and 4 weeks of age only. While, maternal effect was significant positive at the intervals 0-4weeks only. The last shown that the maternal effect had a play essential role on body weight and body weight gain.

Recommended used crosses Sinai and rod Iland red to produce commercial meat strains in hot climated countery.

Key words: Heterosis - Additive effect - Maternal effect - Thermal tolerance.

### Lamiaa M. Radwan<sup>1</sup> and M.Y.Mahrous<sup>1</sup>

### INTRODUCTION

Global Warming leads to heat stress in poultry and caused tremendous economic losses in poultry industry due to increased mortality rate in poultry general particular broilers. The heat stress was negative major effect of economic traits such as body weight, growth rate, feed efficiency, meat yield, susceptibility to disease and mortality percentage (Amrutkar et al., 2014; Lowman et al., 2014). Some researcher had studed effect heat stresse for muscle development and estimated gene expression responsible for this (Radwan et al., 2018); who reached that decrease gene expression of both Myogenin and UCP genes reflected that growth muscle and depression carcass weight. The local breeds were distinguish high adaptation for environment due to the increased gene expression of genes responsible for adapted and those genes inherited from generation to generation (Radwan and Mahrous 2018).

Nawar and Bahie El-Deen (2000) and Iraqi (2002) recorded that general local breeds had high genetic variance for non-additive genetic so recommended the possibility improvment these breeds by crossbreeding programs. Khalil et al. (2010) sayed that must be utilized crossbreeding approach for improvement meat traits in native breeds similar production environment. Crossbreeding brings some changing of genetic variance and permits consolidating the significant traits of parent lines in their offspring lines (Lalev et al., 2014). A target assessment of the estimation of a given strain or lines and its correct place in blends is performed based on diallel cross examinations. The investigation of results

adds to set up the mixes with at least one heterotic traits (Mekky et al., 2008). The parameters of genetics and maternal effect concerning strains or breeds participate in improvement economic traits (Lalev et al., 2014).

The aim of this study is to estimate genetic parameter of diallel crosses among three lines selected three generation for heavy body weight under high temperature condition Sinai (SI) and Rhode Island Red (RI) and Fayoumi breeds.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was done in a private farm (belongs to El-Barka Company). Three adapted and local strains of chickens Fayoumi (F), Sinai (S) and Roid Island Red (RIR) formally selected for three generation to achieve the heaviest body weight under heat stress conditions. At 34 weeks of age these three lines were introduced into 3X3 diallel mating in order to obtain all possible crosses and also their reciprocals. The mating design as follows table 1. 5000 chicks were produce by mating artificial insemination 108 sires and 650 dams.All crossing produce from the last mating system were reared similar management and inveronment condition. Since begin to end experimental the all crossing were reared under high temperature ranged from 35.5°C to 36.5°C.

### Growth performance traits

Estimates and measurements were taken on male progeny only (900 male progeny, 100 progeny from each class or cell). These measurements included body weight trait at hatch, 4, 8 and 12 weeks of age. Also, body weight gain calculated at different age intervals (0-4), (4-8), (8-12) and 0-12 weeks.

Heterosis - Additive effect - Maternal effect - Thermal tolerance.

### Genetic crossbreeding parameters:

The different genetic cross breeding parameters were measured. These parameters included heterosis, direct additive effect and maternal effect.

Heterosis was calculated on percentage of midparents from the equation (Williams et al., 2002) as:  $\{F1-[(P_1 + P_2)/2] / [(P_1 + P_2)/2] \times 100\}$  using mean, Where : F1 = the first cross and P<sub>1</sub> or P<sub>2</sub> is a parent in diallel and reciprocal crosses. While, the Heterosis percentage for crosses calculated for different crocess as equation example (SI×F) =  $\{(SI×F) - [(SISI + FF)/2] / [(SISI + FF) / 2] \times 100\}$ .Direct additive effect was calculated by used Dickerson (1992) as equation follow :

 $\begin{array}{l} D_E \mbox{ for } (FF) = 1/3[(FF) + (FxSI) + (F\times RI)] \\ - \frac{1}{4} \left[ (SISI) + (RIRI) + (SIxF) + (RI\times F) \right]. \\ D_E \mbox{ for } (RIRI) = 1/3[(RIRI) + (RIxSI) + (RI\times F)] - \frac{1}{4} \left[ (SISI) + (FF) + (SI X RI) + (F\times RI) \right]. \end{array}$ 

Moreover, the Maternal effect was estimated by used Dickerson (1992) as the mean deviation of progeny for a particular dam from mean calculated from a particular sire selected line (i.e. mj= (y.i-yi), where y.i=mean of dam selected line and yi=mean of sire selected line. Maternal effect for SI SI= 1/3[(SISI) + (F×SI) + (RI×SI)] -1/3[(SISI) +(SI×F) +(SI×RI RI)].

### Statistical analyses:

Data were statisticly analayssed according to general liner model by SAS Institute (2005) wheres two way analysis as follow:

 $Y_{ijk} = \mu + C_i + A_j + (C^*A)_{ij} + e_{ijk}$ Where;

Differences which considered significant were compared by Duncan Test (Duncan, 1955).

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

From table (2), it could be seen that both SI X RI cross and its reciprocal (RI X SI) had a heavier body weight and weight gain than other counterparts (crosses and pur lines). This means that Fayoumi pure line occupied the lastest degree a the weight lightest body than other counterparts. Its obvious that introducing Fayoumi stains in any crossing progeny reduces the specific heterosis. The reduaction male was more pronounced when using Fayoumi females them Fayoumi.

Table (3) showed the specific heterosis for body weight and body weight gain resulted from 3X3 diallel crossing. Heterosis was positive significant on body weight at hatch, 4,8 and 12 weeks of ages. As the same trend results of body weight gain at (0-4), (8-12) and (0-12)but not at (4-8) weeks of age. It could be observed that the hybrid vigor of body weight was significant positive effect for crosses SI X RI and reciprocal crosses RI XSI and RI XF ones. While, the hybrid vigor of body weight gain was significant positive effect for all diallel crossing except F X RI crosses. Thes results reflected better hybrid vigor when used RIR with Sinai (RI XSI crosses and also the reciprocal SI X RI) due to RIR and Sinai benefited the heaver body weight compared to Fayoumi one. Under heat condition, RIR affical more its body weight depressed compared to Sinai line (Radwan and Mahrous 2018). They also

### Lamiaa M. Radwan<sup>1</sup> and M.Y.Mahrous<sup>1</sup>

observed under these circumstances Sinai line achieved better adaptation than RIR one and produced more level HSP90 and these preferable trait inherited to next generations. So, Significant better heterosis of body weight recorded for SI XRI and RI X SI crosses followed by RI X F.

The degree of heterosis was inversely related to the degree of genetic resemblance between parental populations (Williams et al., 2002; Khalil et al., 2010 and Iraqi et al., 2013).

Mekky et al., 2008 recorded that the highest positive heterosis for body weight noticed crossing between Sinai Sire line with White Leghorn dam line; the same trend occurred results when crossing in done between Fayoumi Sire line with Sinai dame line.

Table (4) illustreted that direct additive effects for body weight and body weight gain traits of 3X3 diallel crossing. The results indicated that the direct additive effects were negative for Fayoumi line for both body weight and weight gain taits at different age of these study. But the reciprocal effects were positive and significant for Sinai and RIR lines for both traits (body weight and body weight gain). Because the normal lighter weight of Fayoumi line besides the depression effect on its body weight resulted from heat stress condition. The direct additive effect of Fayoumi was less than that of both RIR and Sinai ones. Its also less and negative. On the other hand, may be genetics responsible tolerance heat stress nonadditive effect in fayoumi line. These resuls shown that the RI XSI cross was beter than SI X RI one for both body weight and body weight gain traits.Iraqi et al., 2002, 2011 and Lalev et al., 2014 recorded that additive genes had a positive effect of body weight and growth this trend reflexed and become rate but negative at age sexual maturity (26 weeks of age).Maternal effect of body weight and body weight gain were significant positive effect at hatcher, for FF and SI SI. While, it was significant negative effect for RIRI. On the other hand, were significant positive for body

weight at hatcher and 4 weeks of age only. While, maternal effect was significan positive at the intervals 0-4weeks only. The last shown that the maternal effect had a play essential role on body weight and body weight gain. These results agreement with (Khalil et al., 1999; Mekky et al.; 2008; Iraqi, 2008 and Lalev et al., 2014 ). Also, the results reflect that favorable Fayoumi and Sinai lines maternal effect of body weight and body weight gain under high temperature condition.Barbato and Vasilatos-Younken (1991) observed had a blends have an alternate body weight as for utilized dam and sire strains in breeding schedules. One the other hand, the maternal effect in chickens had changed with age could be expected to endoplasmatic heredity which assumes a part of the indication of the particular maternal effect between the strains (Lalev et al., 2014).

### **CONCLUSION**

Better hybrid vigor was noticed when used Rod iland read with Sinai (RI XSI Crosses and reciprocal SI X RI). The direct additive effects croses RI XSI were better than SI X RI for both body weight and body weight gain.

Favorable was notice for F and Si lines maternal effect of body weight and body weight gain under high temperature condition.

Recommended, used crosses Sinai and rod Iland red to produce commercial meat strains in hot climated countery.

### **Conflict of interest**

To the best of our knowledge there is no conflict of interest associated to this publication.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I am exceptionally thankful and enormously obliged to the El-Barka Company.

# Heterosis - Additive effect - Maternal effect - Thermal tolerance.

The mating design as follows **Table(1):** 

| Females<br>Males* | SI             | F      | RI             |
|-------------------|----------------|--------|----------------|
| Sinai (SI)        | SI×SI          | FXSI   | $RI \times SI$ |
| Fayoumi (F)       | SI X F         | FXF    | RI X F         |
| Rod Island (RI)   | $SI \times RI$ | F X RI | $RI \times RI$ |

| Table (2): Means± SE for male body weight at the different ages from the diallel crossing of Sinai (SI SI), Fayoumi (FF) and Read Iland |  |
|---|--|
| lines selected body weight under high ambient temperature.  |  |

|              |                    | Overall             |                     | Prob.         |                     |            |                 |     |
|--------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------|---------------------|------------|-----------------|-----|
| Genotype     | Hatch              | 4wks                | 8wks                | 12wks         |                     | Age<br>(A) | Crossing<br>(C) | A*C |
| Pure breed   |                    |                     |                     |               |                     |            |                 |     |
| SI x SI      | 40.01±0.49         | 534.39±39.01        | 888.12±54.01        | 1421.43±85.32 | 720 00 <sup>b</sup> |            |                 |     |
| $F \times F$ | 35.26±0.45         | 357.19±32.07        | 649.87±43.9         | 1212.98±76.98 | 720.99°             |            |                 |     |
| RI ×RI       | 42.49±0.38         | 603.54±28.93        | 918.95±32.98        | 1465.21±59.32 | 505.85°             |            |                 |     |
| Crosses      |                    |                     |                     |               | 131.38              |            |                 |     |
| SI x F       | 38.04±0.54         | 391.98±25.32        | 759.87±21.03        | 1355.43±54.11 | 626 22b             |            |                 |     |
| SI x RI      | 44.09±32           | 691.78±23.98        | 959.43±12.03        | 1623.32±43.12 | 030.33<br>820.66ª   | 0.03       | 0.01            | NS  |
| F x RI       | 40.02±29           | 529.93±31.03        | 689.21±23.01        | 1298.65±62.99 | 629.00              |            |                 |     |
| Reciprocal   |                    |                     |                     |               | 039.43              |            |                 |     |
| F x SI       | 39.76±0.29         | 412.34±29.8         | 719.98±31.04        | 1306.99±65.32 | 610 77 <sup>b</sup> |            |                 |     |
| RI x SI      | 43.12±0.12         | 676.98±12.76        | 985.65±20.11        | 1699.32±39.99 | 019.77<br>951.27a   |            |                 |     |
| RI x F       | 41.98±0.47         | 559.94±29.19        | 834.98±17.99        | 1365.45±55.96 | 700 50 <sup>b</sup> |            |                 |     |
| Overall      | 40.53 <sup>d</sup> | 528.67 <sup>c</sup> | 822.90 <sup>b</sup> | 1416.53       | 700.39              |            |                 |     |

900

# Lamiaa M. Radwan<sup>1</sup> and M.Y.Mahrous<sup>1</sup>

|            | Genotype   | Bod  | Body weight at different ages (g/weeks)   |   |   |   |  | Prob.   |     |  |  |
|------------|--|--|---|---|---|---|--|---|-----|--|--|
|            | Pure breed   | From Hatch to<br>4wks                                  | From 4wks to<br>8wks  | From 8wks to<br>12wks   | From Hatch to<br>12wks  |   | Age<br>(A)   | Crossing<br>(C)   | A*C |  |  |
|            | $SI \times SI$ $F \times F$ $RI \times RI$ $Crosses$ | 494.38±2.54<br>321.93±8.43<br>561.05±7.32              | 353.73±8.32<br>292.68±7.12<br>315.41±9.76   | 533.31±2.87<br>563.11±7.87<br>546.26±14.54  | 1381.42±49.43<br>1177.72±55.21<br>1422.72±30.54   | 690.71 <sup>b</sup><br>588.86 <sup>c</sup><br>711.36 <sup>b</sup> | 00.71 <sup>b</sup><br>38.86 <sup>c</sup><br>11.36 <sup>b</sup> | 590.71 <sup>b</sup><br>588.86 <sup>c</sup><br>711.36 <sup>b</sup> |     |  |  |
| <b>)</b> 6 | SI X F<br>SI X RI<br>F X RI<br>Reciprocal            | 353.94±7.54<br>647.69±12.87<br>489.91±11.07            | 367.89±11.07<br>267.65±6.98<br>159.28±3.87  | 595.56±10.21<br>663.89±11.32<br>609.44±12.01  | 1317.39±20.43<br>1579.23±17.76<br>1258.63±21.21   | $658.70^{b}$<br>$789.62^{a}$<br>$629.32^{b}$                      | 0.02   | 0.04  | NS  |  |  |
| 1          | F x SI<br>RI x SI<br>RI x F<br>Overall               | 372.58±19.02<br>633.86±10.11<br>517.96±7.97<br>488.14° | $\begin{array}{c} 307.64{\pm}12.21\\ 308.67{\pm}10.01\\ 275.04{\pm}4.98\\ 294.22^{d} \end{array}$ | $587.01 \pm 8.92$<br>713.67 $\pm 16.11$<br>530.47 $\pm 9.93$<br>593.64 <sup>b</sup> | $\begin{array}{c} 1267.23 \pm 17.32 \\ 1656.20 \pm 42.43 \\ 1323.47 \pm 43.32 \\ 1376.00^{a} \end{array}$ | 633.62 <sup>b</sup><br>828.10 <sup>a</sup><br>661.74 <sup>b</sup> |  |   |     |  |  |

| Table (3): Means± SE Specific heterosis of body weight and body weight gain traits from the diallel crossing of Sinai (SI SI). | , Fayoumi (FF) |
|--|----------------|
| and Read Iland lines selected body weight under high ambient temperature.  |                |

| Genotype                                  |  | Age   |   |   |  |            | Prob.           |     |
|---|--|---|---|---|--|------------|-----------------|-----|
|   | Hatch  | 4wks  | 8wks  | 12wks   |  | Age<br>(A) | Crossing<br>(C) | A*C |
|   |  |   | Specific heterosis fo                                     | or body weight  |  |            |                 |     |
| SI X F<br>SI X RI<br>F X RI<br>Reciprocal | 1.063±0.01<br>6.88±0.03<br>2.93±0.01                     | -12.07±0.13<br>21.58±0.34<br>10.32±0.41                     | -1.19±0.02<br>6.19±0.11<br>-12.14±0.41                    | 2.90±0.06<br>12.47±0.23<br>-3.02±0.18                     | -2.32 <sup>d</sup><br>11.78 <sup>a</sup><br>-0.48 <sup>c</sup> | 0.001      | 0.001           | NS  |
| RI XSI<br>RI XSI<br>RI XF<br>Overall      | 5.63±0.09<br>4.53±0.04<br>7.97±0.08<br>4.83 <sup>b</sup> | -7.54±0.52<br>18.98±0.76<br>16.57±0.54<br>7.97 <sup>a</sup> | -0.37±0.25<br>9.09±0.12<br>6.45±0.14<br>0.34 <sup>c</sup> | -0.78±0.08<br>17.74±1.11<br>1.97±0.1<br>5.21 <sup>b</sup> | -2.27 <sup>a</sup><br>12.59 <sup>a</sup><br>7.75 <sup>b</sup>  |            |                 |     |
|   |  | St  | pecific heterosis for l                                   | oody weight gain  |  |            |                 |     |

|            |                       | 1                    |                       |                        |                     |            |                 |     |
|------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------|-----------------|-----|
| Genotype   |                       | Interval             | Oromall               | Prob.                  |                     |            |                 |     |
|            | From Hatch to<br>4wks | From 4wks to<br>8wks | From 8wks to<br>12wks | From Hatch to<br>12wks | Overall             | Age<br>(A) | Crossing<br>(C) | A*C |
| SI X F     | -13.28±0.32           | 13.83±1.21           | 8.64±0.65             | 2.96±0.76              | 3.04 <sup>c</sup>   |            |                 |     |
| SI X RI    | 22.73±1.65            | $-20.00 \pm 1.32$    | 22.99±3.21            | 12.64±2.43             | 9.59 <sup>a</sup>   |            |                 |     |
| F X RI     | 10.97±0.87            | -47.61±4.76          | $9.87 \pm 0.89$       | - 3.20± 0.65           | -29.97 <sup>d</sup> |            |                 |     |
| Reciprocal |                       |                      |                       |                        |                     | 0.001      | 0.001           | NC  |
| F X SI     | 10.97±0.98            | - 4.82±0.76          | $7.08 \pm 0.79$       | - 0.96±0. 22           | 3.07 <sup>c</sup>   | 0.001      | 0.001           | IND |
| RI XSI     | -8.72±0.69            | -7.71±0.93           | 32.21±2.98            | 18.13±0.43             | 8.48 <sup>b</sup>   |            |                 |     |
| RI XF      | 17.32±2.98            | -3.11±0.45           | -4.37±0.65            | 1.79±0.34              | 2.91°               |            |                 |     |
| Overall    | 6.67 <sup>b</sup>     | -69.42 <sup>d</sup>  | $12.74^{a}$           | 5.23°                  |                     |            |                 |     |

 Table (4): Means± SE direct additive effects for body weight and body weight gain traits from the diallel crossing of Sinai (SI SI), Fayoumi (FF) and Read Iland lines selected body weight under high ambient temperature.

|    |   |  | I   | Age  |   | Overall   |            | Prob.           |        |        |        |        |        |       |     |
|----|---|--|---|--|---|---|------------|-----------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|-------|-----|
|    | Genotype                                    | Hatch  | 4wks  | 8wks   | 12wks   |   | Age<br>(A) | Crossing<br>(C) | A*C    |        |        |        |        |       |     |
|    |   |  | Dire  | ect additive effect fo   | or body weight                                      |   |            |                 |        |        |        |        |        |       |     |
|    | SI SI                                       | 0.15±0.001   | 21.48±1.99  | 41.84±3.87   | 30.93±7.76  | 23.60 <sup>b</sup>  |            |                 |        |        |        |        |        |       |     |
|    | FF  | $-2.67 \pm 0.04$   | -93.64±4.65   | -170.99±5.97   | - 141.74±9.87                                       | -102.26 <sup>c</sup>  | 0.0001     | 0.001           | NC     |        |        |        |        |       |     |
|    | RIRI  | 2.26±0.07  | 79.03±6.43  | $107.40 \pm 7.11$  | $105.80 \pm 8.43$                                   | 73.62 <sup>a</sup>  | 0.0001     | 0.0001          | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0.001 | IND |
| 9  | Overall                                     | -0.087 <sup>b</sup>  | 2.29 <sup>a</sup>   | -7.25 <sup>d</sup>   | -1.67 <sup>c</sup>                                  |   |            |                 |        |        |        |        |        |       |     |
| 03 | Direct additive effect for body weight gain |  |   |  |   |   |            |                 |        |        |        |        |        |       |     |
|    |   |  | Interval  | s of the age   |   | Overall   |            | Prob.           |        |        |        |        |        |       |     |
|    | Genotype                                    | From Hatch to<br>4wks  | From 4wks to<br>8wks  | From 8wks to<br>12wks  | From Hatch to<br>12wks                              | Overan  | Age<br>(A) | Crossing<br>(C) | A*C    |        |        |        |        |       |     |
|    | SI SI<br>F F<br>RI RI<br>Overall            | 21.33±7.77<br>-90.97±6.98<br>76.77±7.87<br>7.13 <sup>b</sup> | $20.36 \pm 8.98 \\ -77.35 \pm 8.54 \\ 28.37 \pm 2.43 \\ -28.62^{d}$ | $-10.90\pm4.76$<br>29.25 $\pm6.87$<br>$-1.61\pm0.09$<br>16.74 <sup>a</sup> | 30.79±7.54<br>-139.07±7.87<br>103.54±9.98<br>-4.74° | 15.40 <sup>b</sup><br>-69.54 <sup>c</sup><br>51.77 <sup>a</sup> | 0.0001     | 0.0001          | NS     |        |        |        |        |       |     |

| Table (5): Means± SE maternal effect for body weight and body weight gain traits from the diallel crossing of Sinai (SI SI), Fayoumi (FF) |
|---|
| and Read Iland lines selected body weight under high ambient temperature.   |

|                                 |                   | Age               |                    |                     |                     |            | Prob.           |     |  |  |  |  |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------|------------|-----------------|-----|--|--|--|--|
| Genotype                        | Hatch             | 4wks              | 8wks               | 12wks               |                     | Age<br>(A) | Crossing<br>(C) | A*C |  |  |  |  |
| Maternal effect for body weight |                   |                   |                    |                     |                     |            |                 |     |  |  |  |  |
| SI SI                           | 0.25±0.006        | 1.83±0.03         | -4.51±0.87         | 9.09±2.54           | 1.67 <sup>b</sup>   |            |                 |     |  |  |  |  |
| FF                              | 1.21±0.04         | 16.62±2.87        | 34.94±2.87         | 6.06±0.87           | 14.71 <sup>a</sup>  | 0.0001     | 0.001           | NIC |  |  |  |  |
| RI RI                           | $0.33 \pm 0.005$  | $-5.02 \pm 3.05$  | $-56.76 \pm 5.54$  | -47.14±3.65         | -27.31 <sup>c</sup> | 0.0001     | 0.001           | IND |  |  |  |  |
| Overall                         | 0.38 <sup>b</sup> | 4.48 <sup>a</sup> | -8.78 <sup>c</sup> | -10.66 <sup>d</sup> |                     |            |                 |     |  |  |  |  |

# Maternal effect for body weight gain

| Constant                         |   | Intervals of the age   |  |  |   |            | Prob.           |     |
|----------------------------------|---|--|--|--|---|------------|-----------------|-----|
| Genotype                         | From Hatch to<br>4wks   | From 4wks to<br>8wks   | From 8wks to<br>12wks  | From Hatch to<br>12wks                                       |   | Age<br>(A) | Crossing<br>(C) | A*C |
| SI SI<br>F F<br>RI RI<br>Overall | $\begin{array}{c} 1.59{\pm}0.32\\ 15.41{\pm}0.89\\ -4.69{\pm}0.96\\ 4.10^{a} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} -6.35{\pm}1.43\\ 18.32{\pm}2.65\\ -51.73{\pm}4.65\\ -39.76^{\rm d}\end{array}$ | 13.61±1.98<br>-28.88±3.54<br>9.63±1.07<br>-1.88 <sup>b</sup> | 8.85±1.76<br>4.84±0.87<br>-46.80±5.65<br>-11.04 <sup>c</sup> | 4.43 <sup>a</sup><br>2.42 <sup>b</sup><br>-23.40 <sup>c</sup> | 0.001      | 0.001           | NS  |

### Heterosis - Additive effect - Maternal effect - Thermal tolerance.

### REFERENCES

- Amrutkar, S.A.; Saxena, V. K. and Tomar, S. 2014. m-RNA profiling of HSP-70 under different tropical stress conditions in various broilers. Veterinary World, 6:100-107.
- Barbato, G.F. and Vasilatos-youken, R. 1991. Sex-linked and maternal effects on growth in chickens. Poult. Sci., 70: 709-718.
- **Dickerson, G.E. 1992.** Manual for evaluation of breeds and crosses of domestic animals. Publications Division, FAO, Rome, Italy.
- Iraqi, M.; Hanafi, M., EL-Moghazy, G.; EL-Kotait, A. and Abdel A'AL, M. 2011. Estimation of crossbreeding effects for growth and immunological traits in a crossbreeding experiment involving two local strains of chickens. Livestock Research for Rural Development 23(4).
- **Iraqi, M.M. 2002.** Genetic evaluation for egg quality traits in crossbreeding experiment involving Mandarah and Matrouh chickens using Animal Model. Egypt. Poult. Sci. Vol. 22 (III) Sept.: 711 – 726.
- Iraqi, M.M. 2008. Estimation of crossbreeding effects for egg production traits in a crossbreeding experiment involving two local strains of chickens. Egypt. Poult. Sci. (28) (III): 867-882.
- Iraqi, M.M.; Hanafi, M.S.; Khalil, M.H.; El-Labban, A.F.M. and Ell-Sisy, M. 2002. Genetic evaluation of growth traits in a crossbreeding experiment involving two local strains of chickens using multi-trait animal model. Livestock Research for Rural Development (5):69-79 · October 2002.

- Iraqi, M.M.; Khalil, M.H. and El-Attrouny, M.M. 2013. Estimation of crossbreeding components for growth traits in crossing Golden Montazah with White Leghorn chickens. VIth international conference: balnimalcon 2013 Tekirdag/Turkiye, October 3-5, 2013: 494-504.
- Khalil, M.H.; Hermes, I.H. and Al-Homidan, A.H. 1999. Estimation of heterotic components for growth and livability traits in a crossbreeding experiment of Saudi chickens with White Leghorn. Egypt Poult.Sci. 19: 491-507.
- Khalil, M.H.; Mohamed, K.M. ; Al-Saef, A.M.; Zeitoun, M.M.; El-Zarei, M.F. 2010. Crossbreeding components for growth, carcass and meat composition traits in crossing Saudi Aradi with Damascus goats. Small Ruminant Research 94 (2010) 10–16.
- Lalev, M.; Mincheva, N.; Oblakova, M.; Hristakieva, P. and Ivanova, I. 2014. Estimation of heterosis, direct and maternal additive effects from crossbreeding experiment involving two white plymouth rock lines of chickens. Biotechnology in Animal Husbandry 30 (1), p 103-114.
- Lowman, Z.S.; Edens, F.W.; Ashwell, C.M. and Nolin, S.J. 2014. Actigen Influence on the Gene Expression of Heat ® Shock Proteins in Ross 708 Broiler Chickens. International Journal of Poultry Science 13, 114-123.
- Mekky, S.; Galal, A.; Zaky, H.i. and zein El-dein, A. 2008. Diallel Crossing Analysis for Body Weight and Egg Production Traits of Two Native Egyptian and Two Exotic

### Lamiaa M. Radwan<sup>1</sup> and M.Y.Mahrous<sup>1</sup>

Chicken Breeds. International Journal of Poultry Science 7 (1): 64-71.

- Nawar, M.E. and Bahie EL-Deen,M. 2000. A comparative study of some economical traits of seven genotypes of chickens under intensive production system. Egypt. Poult. Sci. Vol. 20 (IV) Dec.: 1031 – 1045.
- Radwan, L. M.; Mahrous, M.Y.; Alsenosy, N. K. and El Sabry, M.I. 2018. Interaction Between Heat Stress and Early Age on Muscle Development and Related to Gene Expression in Two Strains of Broilers Chickens. Asian J. Anim. Vet. Adv., 2018. DOI: 10.3923/ajava.2018. ISSN 1683-9919.
- Radwan, L.M. Mahrous. and **M.Y.2018.** Genetic selection for growth performance and thermal tolerance under high ambient temperature after 2 generations using heat shock protein 90 expression as an index. Animal Production Science Published online: 9 May 2018 https://www.publish.csiro.au/AN/AN1 7746
- Williams, S.M.; Price, S.E. and Siegel, P.B. 2002. Heterosis of growth and reproductive traits in fowl. Poult. Sci. 81: 11091112.

# الملخص العربى

# تحسين صفات النمو وتقدير قوة الهجين ، وتاثيرات المضافه وتاتاثير الامى بين خطوط المنتخبه لزيادة وزن الجسم تحت الاجهاد الحرارى.

المياء مصطفى رضوان ، محمود يوسف محروس

قسم إنتاج الدواجن ، كلية الزراعة ، جامعة عين شمس

تم تنفيذ تجربة تهجين بين ثلاثة سلالات تم انتخابها لمدة ثلاثة أجيال لوزن الجسم الثقيل تحت ظروف درجة الحرارة المرتفعة سيناء (SI) و الرودايلاند (RI) وسلالات الفيومي (F) . عدد الكلي للهجن 900 مائه من كل نمط وراثي تم تسجيل وزن الجسم المفرد وزيادة وزن الجسم في الفتره ، 4 و 8 و 12 أسبو عا من العمر. الهجن SI XRIوالهجن العكسي RI X Si وزن الجسم اثقل وزيادة وزن الجسم مقارنة مع غيرها من الصلبان ونقية الصرفة. ومن ناحية أخرى ، كان وزن الجسم ووزن وزن الجسم هامين لخط نقي سيناء أو رود أدل قراءة وتقاطع SI x F و Fx SI و Fx SI و Fx SI و RI xF مقارنة بخط فيومي الخالص. كان الارتجاع موجباً معنوياً على وزن الجسم عند الفقس ، 4،8 و 12 أسبوعاً من العمر. كما نفس نتائج الاتجاه من زيادة وزنَّ الجسم ولكن ، سلبية كبيرة في الفواصل الزمنية من 4 إلى 8 اسابيع فقط يمكن ملاحظة أن القوة الهجين لوزن الجسم كان له تأثير إيجابي معنوي للصلبان SI X RI والصلبان العكسيه RI XSI و RI XF. في حين كانت القوة الهجين لزيادة وزن الجسم تأثير إيجابي كبير لجميع معبر diallel باستثناء الصلبان .F X RI كانت التأثيرات المضافة المباشرة سلبية للخط الفيومي على حد سواء وزن الجسم والوزن مكاسب في عمر مختلف من هذه الدراسة. لكن هذه التأثيرات المتبادلة كانت إيجابية بالنسبة لخطوط سيناء ورودلاند الحمراء لكل من وزن الجسم ووزن الجسم. أظهرت هذه التوزيعات أن التلاعب RI XSI beter من SI X RI لكل من وزن الجسم ووزن الجسم. كان تأثير الأمهات من وزن الجسم وزيادة وزن الجسم تأثير إيجابي كبير عند الفقس ، ل FF و SI SI في حين ، كان له تأثير سلبي كبير ل RIRI. من ناحية أخرى ، كانت إيجابية كبيرة لوزن الجسم عند الفقس و 4 أسابيع من العمر فقط في حين أن تأثير الأمهات كان إيجابيا في الفترات الفاصلة بين 0 و 4 أسابيع فقط. أظهر الأخير أن تأثير الأمومة كان له دور أساسي في الجسم وزيادة وزن الجسم .أوصبي الصلبان المستخدمة سيناء و رود ألاند باللون الأحمر لإنتاج سلالات اللحوم التجارية في الأجواء الحارة.