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ABSTRACT: This study evaluated the effect of using two dietary levels (20 or 40% of the diet) sugar beet pulp (SBP) with or without enzymes mixture supplement (Smizyme) at a level of 0.3g/kg diet on growth performance, nutrients digestibility, some blood parameters, immune response, antioxidant activity and economic profit of growing rabbits. Seventy five weaned New Zealand White (NZW) rabbits, six weeks old, with an average live body weight 729.52± 18.97g were randomly divided into five groups (fifteen rabbits, each). Rabbits were fed on 5 experimental diets as follows: diet 1: A control without SBP, 2, 3fed diets 20 and 40%SBP without enzymes mixture and 4, 5fed diets 20 and 40%SBP with enzymes mixture. The growth trail lasted about 8 weeks. The results indicated that, inclusion of 40% SBP with enzymes mixture in rabbit diet significantly increased final body weight, daily body weight gain, improve feed conversion, relative growth rate and performance index, dressing% and also gave the highest economical efficiency than those fed control diet. Digestibility coefficients of DM, CP, EE, NFE significantly increased with replacement 20 or 40% SBP in rabbit diets. Feeding on 40% SBP with enzymes mixture increased significantly digestibility coefficients of OM and CF as compared to rabbits fed control diet. Albumin/ Globulin ratio, AST and Catalase were significantly increased with replacement 20 or 40% SBP with enzymes mixture in rabbit diets compared to control. However, total cholesterol and Malondialdehyde significantly decreased with replacement 40% SBP with enzymes mixture in rabbit diet as compared with control diet. Feeding rabbits on 40% SBP with enzymes mixture achieved significantly higher IgG and IgM than those fed control diet. Conclusively, it could be recommended replacement sugar beet pulp SBP up 40% with enzymes mixture of the rabbit diets to improve the performance, antioxidant status, immunity functions and economic return of the growing rabbits.
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INTRODUCTION

Utilization of agricultural residues from the food industries as feed ingredients for poultry are important to decrease feed cost, and improve the sustainable utilization of feed resources for poultry production. Egypt is one of the main producers of sugar beet in the world. Egypt increased the produced quantity from about 500 tons in 1961 to 2.890360 million tons in year 2000, then 13.323369 million tons harvested in 2016 (FAO, 2018).

Sugar beet pulp (SBP) is the residues collect after processing of sugar beet (Beta vulgaris L.). From the sugar industries rich by product of carbohydrate has been used as a partial source of energy in rabbit diets (Abd EL-Latif et al., 2012). Minarovicova et al., (2018) reported that sugar beet pulp is low in CP it is contained 10.31% protein, 0.42% fat, 3.56% ash and very high level of CF (19.0%). Moreover, SBP is relatively high in Ca, however, being very low in P, Se, vit.B and has almost no vit. D or the precursor of vit.A (Hagstrom, 2008). In addition, SBP is considered as potent sources of antioxidant (high content of phenolic compound, flavoids, flavonols) which can play an important role in adsorbing and neutralizing free radicals, quenching singlet and triplet oxygen or decomposing peroxides (Jeong et al., 2004). Sugar beet pulp is classified as low quality feedstuff characterized by high content of soluble fiber (19% pectins and glucans), which is fermented primarily to acetate led to decreased cecal pH and the negative effect on digestion of rabbit (Hall et al., 2000). Therefore, many attempts have been done to improve its nutritive value by using the biological and enzymatic treatments. Zaza, (2005) found that The rabbits fed diets contained 50 and 75 % biologically treated sugar beet pulp replacement with yellow corn were significantly higher in the average daily weight gain than control. In growing rabbits fed diet sugar beet pulp supplemented with exogenous digestive enzymes (Kemzyme is multi enzyme contain Xylanase, beta glucans and cellulose) improved digestive activities and growth rate, average weight gain and morphology of intestinal mucosa also the feed conversion ratio improved by 3.36% than those fed diets without Kemzyme,(Attia et al., 2012 and El-Tahan et al.,2019).

Therefore, the objective of the present study was to investigate and improve nutritive value of sugar beet pulp by supplemented enzymes mixture and use it in growing rabbit diets at two levels 20 or 40% of the diets and its impact on rabbit’s growth performance, digestibility, some blood parameters and economic profit.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present study was carried out in rabbit research unit at Borg El Arab Research Station located in Alexandria governorate, Egypt, belongs to Animal Production Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center.

Sugar beet pulp and Enzymes mixture

Sugar beet pulp (SBP) was purchased from local commercial company, Alexandria, Egypt. The enzymes mixture were Smizyme, Beijing Smile feed Science and Technology. Development Company, China. Each 1 gram of the mixture contained (betaglucanase 3000U,Pectinase 200U, Cellulase, 250 U, Protease 2000U, Xylanase 7000U and Mannase 100U).

Experimental design and diets

Seventy five weaned NZW rabbits were divided randomly into 5 experimental groups of 15 rabbits each at 6 weeks of
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The experimental groups were: 1 control (basal diet) without SBP, 2, 3 fed diets containing 20 and 40% SBP without enzymes mixture and 4, 5 fed diets containing 20 and 40% SBP with (Smizyme) enzymes mixture (at a level of 0.3g/kg diet). Rabbits were housed in individual galvanized wire pyramidal batteries (30 x 25 x 35 cm) with feeder and automatic nipple drinkers. The batteries were arranged in rows in a windowed house naturally ventilated. All rabbits were kept under the same management conditions. Feed and water were supplied ad libitum. All the experimental diets were formulated to be isonitrogenous and isocaloric, to meet all the essential nutrient requirements of growing rabbits according to Egyptian Agriculture Ministry Decree (1996). Ingredients and chemical analysis of experimental diets are presented in table 1. Digestible energy (DE, Kcal/g) of sugar beet pulp was calculated according to Cheeke (1987), DE (kcal/g) = 4.36 -0.0491x NDF, Where NDF% = 28.924+0.657x CF%; CF: crude fiber, NDF: Neutral detergent fiber.

Growth performance
Live body weight was recorded weekly from 6 to 14 weeks of age and daily body weight gain was calculated. Feed intake (FI) was determined as grams per rabbit per week. Feed conversion ratio (FCR) was calculated as a ratio of gram of feed per gram of gain. Relative growth rate and performance index were calculated according to North, (1981).
Relative growth rate = [(W2 - W1) x100] / [1/2 (W2+ W1)], Where: W1 = Initial body weight (g), and W2 = Final body weight (g).
Performance index (PI) = (Final live body weight (kg)/ Feed conversion ratio) x 100

Digestibility trails
The experimental period lasted for 8 weeks. At the end of the experimental period, a digestibility trial was conducted to determine the digestibility coefficient of the nutrients according to Fekete (1985). Digestibility trial was carried out using four male rabbits from each experimental group at the last week of the growth experiment (14 weeks of age). The rabbits were housed in metabolic cages, where feces samples were collected separately for 6 days as a collection period, which the feces were collected daily and sprayed with 2% boric acid solution for trapping any released ammonia from feces. At the end of collection period, the feces were dried at 60°C for 48 hours (till constant weight), finely grounded and thoroughly mixed to ensure sample uniformity and then stored until being analyses. Proximate analysis of the sugar beet pulp, diets and feces were carried out according to the methods of A.O.A.C (2000).

Carcass traits
At the end of the experimental period, 5 rabbits were taken from each treatment and slaughtered to study carcass traits according to Biasco and Ouhayoun (1996).

Blood metabolites
Blood samples (5 rabbits of each treatment) were collected in the morning from the marginal ear vein to determine blood plasma components. Plasma was separated by centrifugation at 3000 rpm for 15 min and stored at −20°C until analyzed. Quantitative colorimetric determination of total protein (TP, g/dl), and albumin (Alb, g/dl) were executed by using kits of Stanbio Laboratory Inc, procedure No. 0280. (San Antonio, Texas, USA). Globulin concentration (Glb, g/dl) was calculated by subtracting Alb values from TP values. Albumin/ Globulin ratio (A/G ratio) was calculated for each sample.
Quantitative colorimetric determination of triglycerides (TG mg/dl) and cholesterol (mg/dl) were executed by using kits of Spinreact, S.A./S.A.U. Ctra. Santa Coloma, 7 E-17176 SANT ESTEVE DE BAS (GI) SPAIN. The quantitative immunological determination of immunoglobulin G, immunoglobulin A and immunoglobulin M in plasma on COBAS INTEGRA by Roche Diagnostics GmbH, SandhoferStrasse116, D-68305 Mannheim, USA . Kits from Diamond for lab technology (Heliopolis, Cairo - Egypt) were used in determination of concentrations (mg/dl) of urea and creatinine as indicators for kidney functions. Activities of aspartate aminotransferase (AST) and alanine aminotransferase (ALT) as indicators for liver functions were determined calorimetrically using kits supplied by Q-Slap, ElQasar El Ainy St, Cairo -Egypt). All determinations were performed according to the procedures outlined by the respective manufacturers. Malondialdehyde (MDA) and catalase (CAT) were calorimetrically determined using commercial kits (purchased from Bio-Diagnostic, Cairo, Egypt, according to the manufacturers’ instructions).

**Economical efficiency**
The economic efficiency of the experimental diets for body weight gain, calculated by the costs of feed required for producing 1 kg of body weight gain. The total cost of the diets calculated by the Egyptian pound L.E in the local market at the time of the experiment. The economical efficiency (EEF) was calculated according to the following equation:

$$\text{EEF} = \frac{\text{Net revenue}}{\text{total costs}}.$$  

**Statistical analysis**
The differences between experimental treatments were statistically analyzed using the general linear model (GLM) procedures of SAS (2004), by applying one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). All results were analyzed using the following statistical model: $Y_{ij} = \mu + T_i + e_{ij}$, Where: $Y_{ij}$ the observation of $ij$; $\mu$ = Overall mean; $T_i$ = Effects of, i (treatments) and $e_{ij}$=Experimental random error. The significant differences between treatments means were separated by Duncan’s multiple range test(Duncan, 1955).

**RESULT AND DISCUSSION**

**Chemical composition of sugar beet pulp**
Chemical analysis of sugar beet pulp (SBP) is shown in Table 2, which revealed that sugar beet pulp had low content of crude protein and EE. The values were being 8.18% and 0.78%, respectively. In adverse sugar beet pulp had high content of DE, CF, NFE and Ash. The values were 2360, 18.28, 66.64 and 6.12, respectively. The chemical composition of SBP is ranging from 9.33 to 10.71% for CP, 0.10 to 2.40% for EE, 18.40 to 22.37% for CF, 59.34 to 65.69% for NFE and 3.25 to 6.67% for ash on DM basis (Ali *et al.*, 2000; Talha *et al.*, 2002; El-Badawi *et al.*, 2003 and Emam (2018). The difference in the chemical composition of SBP may be due to the drying method or the amount of molasses added to the pulp.

**Growth performance**
The influence of fed experimental diets on growth performance is illustrated in Table 3. Sugar beet pulp with or without enzymes diets increased final body weight than those fed control diet. Also, 40%SBP in diet with enzymes significantly increased final body weight than control diet. Although, no significant differences could be observed between 20%SBP diets with or without enzymes and diet containing 40%SBP without enzymes as compared with those fed control diet. While there were significant decrease in final body weight when rabbits...
fed on 40% SBP without enzymes compared
to feeding 40% SBP with enzymes. The daily
body weight gain significantly increased with
feeding on 40% then 20% SBP with enzymes
in rabbit diets, then 20%SBP without enzymes
as compared with rabbits fed control diet.
However, there was no significant difference
in daily feed intake by feeding SBP with or
without enzymes and those rabbits fed control
diets. Feed conversion value were
significantly improved with 40 or 20% SBP
with enzymes as compared to rabbits fed control
diet (2.72, 2.80 and 3.37),
respectively. The better relative growth rate
and performance index were significantly increased with 20 or 40%SBP diets with
enzymes as compared to rabbits fed control diet. Generally, feeding rabbits on 40% SBP
with enzymes in rabbit diet significantly increased final body weight, daily body
weight gain, improve feed conversion,
relative growth rate and performance index.
The improvement in live body weight and
body weight gain in rabbits fed SBP with
exogenous digestive enzymes (Kemzyme is
multi enzyme contain Xylanase, beta glucans
and cellulose) may be due to degradation
glucans found in SBP there for improved
digestive enzyme activities and morphology
of intestinal mucosa coupled with high
growth rate (Attia et al., 2012).The
improvement in live body weight and body
weight gain with sugar beet pulp with
compared to control may be related to the
powerful antioxidant which can protect
biological systems against the oxidative stress
as shown in Table 8 (Jeong et al., 2004).
Final body weight and weight gain decreased
in animals fed diets high in SBP without
supplemental enzymes when it compared to
SBP diet with external supplemental enzymes
may be related to high SBP content of soluble
fiber (pectins, glucans), which is fermented
primarily to acetate led to decreased cecal pH
and its negative effect on digestion of rabbit
(Hall et al., 2000).These results are in
agreement with El-Abed et al., (2011)who
found that inclusion of SBP increased the
ileal viscosity and decreased the cecal pH.
This result agree El-Tahan et al., (2019) who
reported that rabbits fed diets with 50%sugar
beet pulp and 50% sugar beet pulp with
Kemzyme recorded the highest values of
daily weight gain, relative growth rate and
performance index than those fed control
diet. Also, feed conversion ratio was
significantly improved with rabbits fed sugar
beet pulp diets. Moreover, rabbits fed diets
with Kemzyme improved feed conversion
ratio by 3.36%, but with no significant effect
on final body weight and daily weight gain as
compared with those fed control diets. Also,
Abd EL-Latif et al., (2012) recorded
significant increase in body weight in rabbits
fed diets containing 25, 50 and 100% sugar
beet pulp replaced of berseem hay than those
fed control diet. Also, rabbits fed 25% SBP
recorded the best feed conversion compared
with other dietary treatments. Salah El-Din et
al.,(2016) indicated that body weight was
significantly increased while body weight
gain insignificantly increased with increasing
sugar beet pulp in the diets up to 75%
substitution for yellow corn grain(27% sugar
beet pulp in the diet). However, daily feed
intake was insignificantly decreased with
increasing the level sugar beet pulp up to
100%.The better feed conversion were
observed in rabbits fed diets for sugar beet
pulp substituted yellow corn grain (25, 50
and 75%) as compared to control group.

Digestibility coefficients of diets
Results of digestibility coefficients of
nutrients of experimental diets are
presented in Table 4, and showed that
digestibility coefficients of DM, CP, EE
and NFE were increased significantly
with inclusion 40% SBP with enzymes in
rabbits diets compared to rabbits fed
control diet. While, using of 40% SBP
without enzymes significantly decreased
digestibility coefficients of CP, NFE
compared to enzymes supplemented
treatments. Also, there were significant
increase in digestibility coefficients of
OM and CF for rabbits with inclusion
40% SBP with enzymes as compared to
rabbits fed control diet. On the other hand, digestibility coefficients OM and CF was significantly decreased for group received 40% SBP without enzymes as compared to group fed the same SBP level with enzymes. However, there was no significant difference in all digestibility coefficients when replacement of 40% SBP without enzymes and control diet. Generally, the results showed great improvement in digestibility % of CP, CF, EE and NFE of 40% SBP supplemental enzymes group. This improvement of the digestibility and utilization of nutrients in diets lead to improvement in growth rates and weights table (3). In this respect, Yan et al., (2017) found that nutrient digestibility was improved by increasing level of sugar beet pulp supplementation (up to12%) in weaning pig diets. El-Taweel (2010) indicated that, inclusion of 50% sugar beet pulp instead of grains in diet had no effect on DM and OM digestibility %. Also, Abd El-Latif et al., (2012) recorded that a significant differences in the digestibility of CP and CF for rabbit fed 50 and 75% sugar beet pulp replacement of berseem hay compared with control diet with no significant effect in the digestibility of DM, OM, EE and NFE when using sugar beet pulp as a replacement of berseem hay in rabbit diets compared with control diet. In contrast, Salah El-Din et al., (2016) found that replacing yellow corn with SBP up to 75% had a significant improve in digestibility coefficients of DM, CP and NFE. Digestibility coefficients of OM was gradually increased (P<0.01), while digestibility coefficients of CF was decreased gradually (P<0.01) with increasing the level of sugar beet pulp up to 100% substitution of yellow corn grain. Also, El-Badawi et al., (2007) Showed that the rabbits fed either 25 or 50 % treated SBP with Trichoderma reesei showed significantly (P<0.05) better values than those fed control or 25 and 50 % untreated SBP in nutrients digestibility. Zaza (2005) found that rabbits fed 75% biologically treated SBP replacement of corn grain was significantly superior in the digestibility of DM, OM, CP, CF and NFE of the tested rations followed by group fed 50% biologically treated sugar beet pulp as compared to the control.

### Carcass characteristics

Results of effect of experimental treatments on carcass traits of growing rabbits are showed in Table 5. The presented results showed that among studied carcass traits, only values of carcass, wt% and dressing % were affected by experimental treatments. carcass, wt% was significantly increased with 40% SBP supplemental enzymes than those of control diet group. Also, dressing weight percentage (carcass, wt%+ edible giblets %) was significantly increased with both inclusion levels of 20 and 40% SBP supplemental enzymes as compared with control fed diets. However, no significant effect was recorded in the edible giblets (liver, heart, kidney, spleen), head, cecum length and intestinal length among rabbits fed different experimental diets. The improvement in carcass % and dressing % in all rabbits fed diets containing SBP compared with rabbits fed control diet is matching with the improvement of both body weight gain and digestibility of nutrients especially CP. (Tables 3 & 4).These results agree with the conclusion of Abd El-Latif et al.,(2012) who reported an improvement in carcass weight and dressing weight % when rabbits fed diets with sugar beet pulp and
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The best carcass weight was recorded in rabbits fed diets 25% and 50% sugar beet pulp as compared with control diets. In this concern, El-Badawi et al., (2007) showed high dressing percentage and significant increase in liver, kidneys and heart% for growing rabbits fed 25 and 50% treated sugar beet pulp with Trichoderma reesei compared with rabbits fed control and 25% untreated sugar beet pulp diets. On the other hand Sayed et al., (2019) indicated that no significant differences on carcass weight and dressing weight percentage between rabbits fed diets sugar beet pulp(with or without enzyme) and with those fed control diets, also, adding enzyme to sugar beet pulp had no significant effect on carcass and dressing weight. Also, Abedo et al.,(2012) recorded significant decrease (P≤0.01) in carcass weight and dressing weight percentage with higher values (P≤0.05) for heart and kidneys in25 or 50%sugar beet pulp groups than control.

Blood constituents

The presented results of effect of experimental treatments on blood parameters of growing rabbits in Table 6, indicated that no adverse effect of dietary SBP with or without enzyme supplementation on total protein, albumin, globulin, ALT, value of urea-N, creatinine and triglyceride. However, it could be observed significant differences in plasma AST activities in rabbits fed 20 or 40% SBP with enzymes and 20% SBP without enzymes as compared to rabbits fed control diet. Also, values of A/G ratio were significantly increased with inclusion of 20 or 40% SBP with enzymes compared to rabbits fed control diet. Furthermore, total cholesterol significantly decreased with fed on 40% SBP with enzymes compared with that rabbits fed control diet (33.36 and 59.30mg/ dl) respectively. The reduction in blood cholesterol may be due to the decrease in the activity of enzyme synthesis, as recorded by Chowdhury et al., (2002).The obtained values of blood parameters were in the normal biological ranges which indicated that growing rabbits were in good health status. These values are similar to those cited by Ganong (2005). Plasma proteins are part of the immune response where antibodies are made of albumin which is the major protein constituent of serum and globulins are carrier proteins to steroid and thyroid hormones and play role in natural immunity to infection. Zaza (2005) found that no significant differences between the rabbits fed biological treated sugar beet pulp and control in total protein, albumin, globulin, A/G ratio, AST and ALT while, rabbits fed 50% treated SBP ration recorded high (p<0.05) urea concentration as compared with those fed either the 75% treated sugar beet pulp or control diets. Also, Abd El-Latif et al.,(2012) recorded a significant differences on total protein, albumin and globulin, when using 50% and 75% sugar beet pulp in rabbit diets, and the greatest (P<0.05) values of blood total protein, globulin were recorded for rabbits fed dietary 100% sugar beet pulp compared with other dietary. The same result recorded by El-Badawi et al., (2007) who fed growing rabbits on untreated sugar beet pulp (USBP) or fungal treated sugar beet pulp (TSBP) with Trichoderma reesei at levels of 25 and 50% and found that, total protein, albumin, urea, creatinine, AST and ALT were within the normal range. In contrast, Yan et al., (2017) found that blood urea nitrogen concentration (p<0.05) decreased when pigs fed the diets with sugar beet pulp as compared with control.
group. The same result by Abedo et al., (2012) who recorded decrease in Urea-N and creatinine in rabbits fed diet containing 20% and 50% sugar beet pulp as compared to those fed control diets. Akbari and Torki (2014) suggested that the high concentration of antioxidants decrease lipid peroxidation and then reduce the serum concentration of triglycerides.

**Immune response**

Results in Table 7. Showed that immunoglobulin IgG was significantly increased in rabbits fed 20% and 40% SBP with enzymes as compared to rabbits fed control diet (2.81, 2.95 and 2.29, respectively). This improvement in IgG in rabbits fed SBP compared to rabbits fed control diets which means that antibody levels were improved. Also, immunoglobulin IgM was significantly higher in groups fed 40% SBP with enzymes than other experimental diets. That IgM is predominantly found in the lymph fluid and blood, and it is a very effective neutralizing agent in the initial stages of disease so increased level of IgM can be a sign of recent infection to antigens. Results of immunoglobin IgA did not significant by diets. These results agree with Yan et al., (2017) who found positive effects in IgA and IgM and could be increase by increasing level of sugar beet pulp supplementation (up to 12%) in weaning pigs diets. The first antibody involved in the mucosal immunity is IgA, which decrease intracellular pathogens association with the nonspecific innate defense system. And, the second antibody produce is immunoglobulin IgM in the initial stage of antibody response (Liu et al., 2020a). Mohamed et al., (2012) found that the values of IgM was improved (p<0.05) significantly with adding (multi enzyme)35g/100kg Natuzyme to 20% lemon pulp diet compared to the control group (263.35 vs 182.75). In accordance with El-Kholy et al., (2019) which reported that increased in the digestion of some nutrients in the treated groups improved the availability of circulating amino acids for immunoglobulin synthesis by B lymphocytes. Immunoglobulins are produced in B-cells in bone marrow and the biological characteristics of IgG, IgA and IgM in poultry are like mammals. Present of IgG at the highest concentration was responsible for immunologic competence, so IgG can be used as an index of humoral immunity. Gao et al., (2007) reported that enzyme (xylanase) supplementation in poultry diet increased the relative weight of spleen and increased serum antibody lymphocyte. The enhancement of immune functions in treated groups, may imply SBP supplements are rich in flavonoids and phenolic compound which act as strong anti-oxidants Mohdaly et al., (2013).

**Antioxidant status**

The effect of feeding diets containing SBP with or without enzymes on blood antioxidant constituents of growing rabbits are presented in Table 8. Data showed that values of antioxidant activity was in the normal biological ranges which means that growing rabbits were in good health, where a significant decreased of plasma malondialdehyde (MDA)were observed in rabbits fed20,40% SBP with enzyme and20%.SBPwithout enzymes as compared with rabbits fed control diet (2.46,2.30, 2.76 and 3.83), respectively. The opposite effect was noticed regarding catalase where the value were significantly increased in rabbits fed 40% then 20% SBP with enzymes and 20%SBP without enzymes compared with
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rabbits fed control diet (348.1, 335.2, 310.7 and 247.37). Increasing of catalase and decreasing of lipid peroxidation may be due to the SBP containing antioxidant substance, this interpretation is in accordance with Droge, (2002) who reported that lipid peroxidation leads to the formation of various products such as the malondialdehyde. Therefore, the level of malondialdehyde in blood is determined as an indicator to lipid peroxidation in the body. Many oxidation reactions are essential for survival in the biological system. Sometimes, inside the normal cells, oxidation reactions related to uncontrolled reactions produce unstable oxygen molecules (free radicals). These compounds react with other different important molecules in organs as lipid; protein and DNA produce a new compound which damage DNA (Ercegovac et al., 2010). Antioxidants are the first line of defense against free radical damage and for maintains to the optimum health (Lobo et al., 2010). Catalase plays a major role in protecting cells from oxidative damage; this process requires specific nutrients to be present in the diet. The obtained results in this study indicated that diets containing SBP for growing rabbits reduced the MDA levels, subsequently decreased lipid peroxidation. Also, this result agree with Akbari and Torki (2014) who suggested that the high concentration of antioxidants might decrease lipid peroxidation. Muhammad et al., (2019) cited that the high antioxidant ability of sugar beet pulp against lead-induced oxidative stress, which was induced by high glucose. High glucose caused significant lipid peroxidation in cell which was reversed by using sugar beet pulp. Mohdaly et al., (2013) and Adel et al., (2010) reported that using sugar beet pulp with different extracting solvent had the highest antioxidant activity due to its high content of phenolic compounds and flavonoids.

Economical efficiency

The effect of feeding diet containing sugar beet pulp with or without enzyme are present in Table 9. The lowest total feed cost /rabbit (13.97LE) were recorded by diet containing40% SBP with enzymes and 40% SBP without enzymes (14.14LE) compared with control diet (14.58 LE). Also, the rabbits selling price was increased in all rabbits fed diets (40% then 20%) SBP with enzymes then 20% SBP without enzymes compared to control diet (62.88 g,60.48g and 58.14g. vs. 48.52g.), respectively. This increase may be due to increased in total average weight. The same trend were recorded in net revenue (48.91, 46.19 and 42.81vs. 33.94LE) with containing40% then 20% SBP with enzymes then 20% SBP without enzyme compared to control diet. Also, the recorded results showed that the highest economical efficiency were by rabbits fed 40%and 20% SBP with enzymes (3.50 and 3.23) respectively, and the least value was shown with rabbits fed control diet (2.32). The best value of relative economic were recorded in rabbits fed diets40% and20%SBPwith enzymes (150.40 and138.89) respectively.

Generally, it can be observed that inclusion of 20 or 40% SBP with or without supplemental enzymes mixture based on (beta glucanase, Pectinase, Cellulase, Protease, Xylanase and Mannase) recorded the best economical efficiency than the control diets. These results were in agreement with, Zaza (2005) who reported that the highest economical efficiency was recorded by the group fed 50% biologically treated.
SBP (145.89%) followed by group fed 75% biologically treated SBP (115.3%) and the poorest was in the control (78.99%). Also, El-Badawi et al., (2007) observed the highest economical efficiency were recorded in the group fed on 50% treated SBP with fungal, followed by the group that fed 75% treated SBP and then by the control group. The same result obtained by Salah El-Din et al., (2016) where the best efficiency value was recorded by the rabbits fed 75% SBP substitution of yellow corn grain, followed by 100, 50 and 25% SBP. In contrast, El-Taweel (2010) showed that, replacement all grains in diets up to 75% by SBP (30% of total ingredients) in growing rabbits diet did not effects on the economical efficiency.

**CONCLUSIONS**

It can be concluded that dietary SBP significantly increased growth in growing rabbits and improve the immunity parameters. Additional improvement lipid profile, lipid peroxidation and anti-oxidant status were reported. The overall results support the trend of using SBP with supplemental enzymes mixture effectively particularly at the 40%.
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Table (1): Composition and calculated chemical analysis of experimental diets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ingredients</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>SBP 20% without enzymes</th>
<th>SBP 40% with enzymes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clover hay (12% CP)</td>
<td>30.00</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>8.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>40.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yellow corn</td>
<td>21.57</td>
<td>13.57</td>
<td>11.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheat bran</td>
<td>21.24</td>
<td>18.14</td>
<td>10.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soybean meal (44%CP)</td>
<td>21.00</td>
<td>22.10</td>
<td>23.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molasses</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limestone</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Di calcium phosphate</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaCl</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamins and Minerals Premix ¹</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DL-Methionine</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anticoccidia (Diclazuril)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>100</strong></td>
<td><strong>100</strong></td>
<td><strong>100</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Calculated analysis%²

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>OM%</th>
<th>CP%</th>
<th>CF%</th>
<th>EE%</th>
<th>Ash%</th>
<th>NFE%</th>
<th>DE (kcal/kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>92.96</td>
<td>17.76</td>
<td>13.36</td>
<td>2.61</td>
<td>7.04</td>
<td>59.23</td>
<td>2545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP 20% without</td>
<td>92.45</td>
<td>17.60</td>
<td>13.57</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>7.55</td>
<td>59.05</td>
<td>2535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP 40% with</td>
<td>92.49</td>
<td>17.13</td>
<td>12.85</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>7.51</td>
<td>60.41</td>
<td>2586</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>enzymes</td>
<td>92.50</td>
<td>17.60</td>
<td>13.57</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>7.50</td>
<td>59.10</td>
<td>2535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP 40% with</td>
<td>92.54</td>
<td>17.13</td>
<td>12.85</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>7.46</td>
<td>60.46</td>
<td>2586</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SBP: Sugar beet pulp.

¹Each 3 kg of vitamins and minerals mixture contains: Vit. A 6.000.000 IU, Vit.B₁₂2000mg, Vit.B₂4000mg, Vit.D₃9.00.000 IU, Vit E 40000mg, Vit.K₂2000 mg, Pantothenic acid 10.000mg; Nicotinic acid, 50000g; Vit.B₆2000mg; Vit. B₁₂10 mg, Folic acid 3000mg, Biotin 50 mg, Cu 5g, Choline,250g,Mn8.5g, Fe 50g, , Co 0.1 g, Se 0.1 g, Zn 50 g,Iodine0.2 g.

²According to Feed composition for Animal and Poultry Feedstuff used in Egypt (2001).
Table (2): Chemical analysis of sugar beet pulp (SBP).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Items</th>
<th>OM%</th>
<th>CP%</th>
<th>CF%</th>
<th>EE%</th>
<th>NFE%</th>
<th>ASH%</th>
<th>*DE(Kcal/kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sugar beet pulp(SBP)</td>
<td>93.88</td>
<td>8.18</td>
<td>18.28</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>66.64</td>
<td>6.12</td>
<td>2360</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*DE (kcal/g) = 4.36 - 0.0491x NDF, Where NDF% = 28.924 + 0.657x CF% (according to Cheeke, 1987).

CF crude fiber, NDF: Neutral detergent fiber

Table (3): Effect of experimental diets on growth performance of growing rabbits.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Items (g)</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>SBP 20% without enzymes</th>
<th>SBP 40% without enzymes</th>
<th>SBP 20% with enzymes</th>
<th>SBP 40% with enzymes</th>
<th>SEM</th>
<th>Sign.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initial body weight(g)</td>
<td>765.00</td>
<td>750.00</td>
<td>713.30</td>
<td>718.30</td>
<td>761.60</td>
<td>18.97</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final body weight(g)</td>
<td>1726.60&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1890.00&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1741.60&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1905.00&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1995.00&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>37.07</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily body weight gain(g)</td>
<td>17.16&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>20.35&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>18.36&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>21.19&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>22.02&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily feed intake (g)</td>
<td>57.89</td>
<td>63.09</td>
<td>60.17</td>
<td>59.36</td>
<td>59.99</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feed conversion ratio</td>
<td>3.37&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.10&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.27&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.80&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.72&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(g feed/body g gain)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative growth rate (%)</td>
<td>77.15&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>86.63&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>83.67&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>90.47&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>89.48&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance index (%)</td>
<td>51.23&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>60.19&lt;sup&gt;bc&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>53.24&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>68.03&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>73.34&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.51</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SBP : Sugar beet pulp
NS: Not significant, *:(P<0.05), and **:(P<0.01).
a,b and c Means in the same row with different superscripts are significantly different

Table (4): Effect of experimental diets on digestion coefficient of growing rabbits.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Items</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>SBP 20% without enzymes</th>
<th>SBP 40% without enzymes</th>
<th>SBP 20% with enzymes</th>
<th>SBP 40% with enzymes</th>
<th>SEM</th>
<th>Sign.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dry matter(DM)</td>
<td>61.60&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>65.17&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>63.30&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>67.33&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>68.41&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic matter(OM)</td>
<td>60.24&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>64.17&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>60.31&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>65.78&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>67.77&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude protein (CP)</td>
<td>58.88&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>67.17&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>62.40&lt;sup&gt;bc&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>76.24&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>77.47&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude fiber (CF)</td>
<td>29.56&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>31.71&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>29.71&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>32.33&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>43.22&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ether extract (EE)</td>
<td>68.59&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>78.63&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>72.30&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>78.66&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>80.06&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen free extract (NFE)</td>
<td>60.05&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>67.75&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>64.47&lt;sup&gt;bc&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>71.56&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>74.16&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SBP : Sugar beet pulp
NS: Not significant, *:(P<0.05), and **:(P<0.01).
a,b and c Means in the same row with different superscripts are significantly different.
Sugar beet pulp, enzymes mixture, immunity, antioxidant and rabbits.

Table (5): Effect of experimental diets on carcass characteristics of growing rabbits.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Items (g)</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>SBP 20% without enzymes</th>
<th>SBP 40% without enzymes</th>
<th>SBP 20% with enzymes</th>
<th>SBP 40% with enzymes</th>
<th>SEM</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carcass, wt %</td>
<td>48.21&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>50.29&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>50.06&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>50.97&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>51.32&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver%</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>2.79</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart%</td>
<td>0.2977</td>
<td>0.308</td>
<td>0.297</td>
<td>0.3126</td>
<td>0.322</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidney%</td>
<td>0.630</td>
<td>0.635</td>
<td>0.636</td>
<td>0.647</td>
<td>0.694</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spleen%</td>
<td>0.0299</td>
<td>0.0394</td>
<td>0.0353</td>
<td>0.0397</td>
<td>0.0478</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edible giblets%</td>
<td>3.35</td>
<td>3.47</td>
<td>3.32</td>
<td>3.49</td>
<td>3.85</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head%</td>
<td>5.61</td>
<td>5.64</td>
<td>5.76</td>
<td>5.81</td>
<td>5.89</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dressing %</td>
<td>57.17&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>59.40&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>59.14&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>60.27&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>61.06&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cecum length(cm)</td>
<td>48.00</td>
<td>49.00</td>
<td>48.33</td>
<td>47.33</td>
<td>50.33</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intestinal length(cm)</td>
<td>232.0</td>
<td>260.3</td>
<td>247.3</td>
<td>239.6</td>
<td>253.3</td>
<td>4.38</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SBP: Sugar beet pulp
NS: Not significant, *:(P<0.05), and **:(P<0.01).

Table (6): Effect of experimental diets on blood parameters of growing rabbits.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Items</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>SBP 20% without enzymes</th>
<th>SBP 40% without enzymes</th>
<th>SBP 20% with enzymes</th>
<th>SBP 40% with enzymes</th>
<th>SEM</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total protein ( g/dl)</td>
<td>4.65</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>4.70</td>
<td>4.90</td>
<td>4.93</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albumin ( g/dl)</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>3.43</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Globulin( g/dl)</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/G ratio</td>
<td>2.27&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.50&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.43&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.65&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.70&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AST(U/L)</td>
<td>24.30&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>34.60&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>30.60&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>35.30&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>37.60&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALT(U/L)</td>
<td>39.67</td>
<td>40.33</td>
<td>39.60</td>
<td>41.66</td>
<td>43.33</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine (mg/ dl)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urea N, mg/ dl</td>
<td>24.50</td>
<td>27.00</td>
<td>27.00</td>
<td>28.30</td>
<td>30.00</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol (mg/ dl)</td>
<td>59.30&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>46.33&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>53.66&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>43.30&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>33.36&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.48</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglyceride mg/ dl</td>
<td>123.6</td>
<td>103.0</td>
<td>121.3</td>
<td>103.0</td>
<td>93.67</td>
<td>5.78</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SBP: Sugar beet pulp
NS: Not significant, *:(P<0.05), and **:(P<0.01).

Means in the same row with different superscripts are significantly different.
Table (7): Effect of experimental diets on immune response of growing rabbits.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Items</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>SBP 20% without enzymes</th>
<th>SBP 40% with enzymes</th>
<th>SEM</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IgG (mg/ml)</td>
<td>2.29&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.78&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.62&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.81&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.95&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IgA (mg/ml)</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IgM (mg/ml)</td>
<td>1.30&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.60&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.56&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.00&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.40&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SBP: Sugar beet pulp  
NS: Not significant, *: (P<0.05), and **: (P<0.01).  
a, b and c Means in the same row with different superscripts are significantly different.

Table (8): Effect of experimental diets on antioxidant activity of blood of growing rabbits.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Items</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>SBP 20% without enzymes</th>
<th>SBP 40% with enzymes</th>
<th>SEM</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Malondialdehyde (MDA) nmol/ml</td>
<td>3.83&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.76&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.40&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.46&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.30&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catalase (U/l)</td>
<td>247.37&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>310.7&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>280.7&lt;sup&gt;bc&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>335.2&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>348.1&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SBP: Sugar beet pulp  
NS: Not significant, *: (P<0.05), and **: (P<0.01).  
a, b and c Means in the same row with different superscripts are significantly different (P<0.05).

Table (9): Economical efficiency of experimental diets of growing rabbits.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Items</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>SBP 20% Without enzymes</th>
<th>SBP 40% Without enzymes</th>
<th>SBP 20% with enzymes</th>
<th>SBP 40% with enzymes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total average weight gain (g)</td>
<td>951.52</td>
<td>1.140</td>
<td>1.028</td>
<td>1.186</td>
<td>1.233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selling price/rabbit (LE) (A)</td>
<td>48.52</td>
<td>58.14</td>
<td>52.42</td>
<td>60.48</td>
<td>62.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total feed intake</td>
<td>3.241</td>
<td>3.533</td>
<td>3.369</td>
<td>3.324</td>
<td>3.359</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Price/kg feed(LE)</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>4.34</td>
<td>4.20</td>
<td>4.30</td>
<td>4.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total feed cost/rabbit (LE), (B)</td>
<td>14.58</td>
<td>15.33</td>
<td>14.14</td>
<td>14.29</td>
<td>13.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net revenue (LE)†</td>
<td>33.94</td>
<td>42.81</td>
<td>38.28</td>
<td>46.19</td>
<td>48.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic efficiency²</td>
<td>2.327</td>
<td>2.792</td>
<td>2.707</td>
<td>3.232</td>
<td>3.501</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative Economic efficiency</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>119.98</td>
<td>116.30</td>
<td>138.89</td>
<td>150.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SBP: Sugar beet pulp  
Price of 1 kg live body weight = 51 LE  
(1) Net revenue = A – B.  
(2) Economic efficiency = (A-B)/B.  
(3) Relative Economic Efficiency = Economic efficiency of treatments other than the control/ Economic efficiency of the control group.
REFERENCES


levels of untreated or fungal treated sugar beet pulp. 58th EAAP Meeting, 22 Abst. No.1170.


Sugar beet pulp, enzymes mixture, immunity, antioxidant and rabbits.


الملخص العربي

الداء الإنباتي والاستجابة الفسيولوجية للأرابات النامية المتاثرة باستخدام تقل بنجر السكر مع أو بدون أضافة مخلوط الأنزيمات

صلابا عطبا بركاث، ولا عطلا سلامة، حمدي فروق أمين، ومليا فتحي عبد المولى

معهد بحوث الانتاج الحيواني - مركز البحوث الزراعية، الدقي، مصر

أجريت هذه التجربة لدراسة استخدام 20 أو 40% مع أو بدون إضافة مخلوط الأنزيمات (ميزوروب) (مستوى 0.5 جم/كجم بوصية) على دم أرباب abusing بعض قياسات الدم في حالات البناء والكفاءة الأقتصادية. تم استخدام عدد 15 أرباب نويزيلاندي أيبر متوسط وزن 18.97±2.92 جم وزعت عشوائيا على 5 جماعات كل مجموعة

بها 15 أرباب المجموعة الأولى (الكنترو) حذفت على العينة الأساسية بدون إضافة تقل بنجر كم مقارنة ببجها.png

الخصائص: نظماً 20% و 40% بنجر السكر مهدد مع مخلوط الأنزيمات، واستمرت التجربة لمدة 8 أسابيع.

أظهر النتائج أن الأراب المغذى على العينة التي تحتوي على 20% بنجر السكر بمخلوط الأنزيمات سجلت زيادة معنوية في وزن الجسم، النمو النسبي، النمو، نسبة التصافي، أيضاً أعطت على كفاءة الإنباتات مقارنة بالبيئة حذفت الصدفة. وجد زيادة معنوية واضحة في معاملات هضم المادة الحالة، البروتين، الدهن، والمستخلص الخالي من الأموات عند أربعة 20% و 40% بنجر السكر مغذى مخلوط الأنزيمات في علاج الأرابين. أيضاً وجد زيادة معنوية في معاملات هضم الألياف والمادة العضوية عند التغذية على العينة المغذى مخلوط الأنزيمات 40% بنجر السكر مع إضافة مخلوط الأنزيمات مقارنة بالأرابات المغذى على عينة الكنترو وارتفاع الكالسيوم عند أربع 0% و 40% بنجر السكر في علاج الأراب مقارنة علية الكنترو لارتفاع الأرابت. بينما وجد انخفاض معنوي في الكولسترول والمالونديهيد عند أربعة 40% بنجر السكر مع مخلوط الأنزيمات في علاج الأراب مقارنة بالكنترو. حققت IgM IgG الأجسام المناعية على معنوية مع تجربة الأراب على عينة الكنترو 40% بنجر السكر مغذى مخلوط الأنزيمات مقارنة بالبيئة حذفت على عينة الكنترو.

الخلاصة

استخلصنا من هذه الدراسة: استخدام تقل بنجر السكر حتى مستوى 40% مع إضافة مخلوط الأنزيمات في علاج الأراب

أدي إلى تحسن الأداء الإنباتي، والمواد المضادة للأكسدة، والوظائف المناعية والكفاءة الاقتصادية للأرابات النامية.