Egyptian Poultry Science Journal

http://www.epsj.journals.ekb.eg/

ISSN: 1110-5623 (Print) – 2090-0570 (Online)

EFFECT OF INCLUDING DIFFERENT DIETARY LEVELS OF GRAPE POMACE ON PERFORMANCE, BLOOD BIOCHEMICAL PARAMETERS, AND IMMUNE RESPONSE OF MUSCOVY DUCKS

Asmaa Sh. ELnaggar¹ and Enass Abd El-khalek²

¹Dep. of Anim. Prod., Fac. of Agric., Damanhour Uni., Egypt. ²Dep. of Poult.Prod., Fac. of Agric.e (El-Shatby), Alexandria Univ., Egypt. **Corresponding author: Asmaa Sh. ELnaggar** Email : <u>asmaaelnaggar85@yahoo.com</u>

Received:	08/06/2024	Accepted: 13 /09 /2024

ABSTRACT : The effects of varying amounts of grape pomace (GP) on Muscovy ducklings performance, digestibility of nutrients, immunological response, caecal microbiota, and carcass traits were studied from 7 to 70 days of age. Four experimental groups (0, 2.5, 5.0, and 7.5 % GP) comprising 200 unsexed 7-day-old ducklings were randomly assigned. The study found that diets supplemented with GP significantly improved economic efficiency, feed conversion ratio, body weight, and weight gain, with the 2.5% and 5.0% GP groups showing the most significant improvement. Duckling diets supplemented with varying levels of GP significantly enhanced digestibility coefficients (organic matter, crude protein, ether extract, and nitrogen-free extract) and intestinal lactobacillus counts than the control group. Also, the study found that ducklings fed 2.5 and 5.0% GP showed significantly improved blood parameters compared to the control group. Duckling fed varying levels of GP showed increased phagocyte index, immunoglobulins (A, G, and M), and blood antioxidant parameters (total antioxidant capacity, glutathione peroxidase, and superoxide dismutase) compared to the control group. The opposite trend was observed for feed consumption and Prominently, intestinal total bacterial count, Escherichia coli, and Proteus spp counts were significantly decreased. It could be concluded that dietary supplementation with 2.5 or 5.0% GP in duckling diets improved duckling productive performance, nutrient digestibility, hemato-biochemical pramateres, and immunological response, with 2.5 or 5.0% GP being the optimal inclusion level.

Keywords: Ducklings, Grape pomace, Performance, Immune response

EPSA

INTRODUCTION

Growth promoters are being investigated by the scientific and commercial poultry nutrition sectors as safe antibiotic substitutes to enhance the health and growth of poultry (Kazi et al., 2022). Animal feedstuffs contain antioxidants that protect tissues from reactive oxygen species that may cause damage to DNA, RNA, and proteins by lowering free radicals and avoiding lipid peroxidation (Lanari et al., 2004; Grashorn, Oxidation damage 2007). significantly growth, health, threatens poultry and losses, potentially affecting economic poultry meat and poultry meat production (Sihvo et al., 2013; Est'evez, 2015). Oxidative reactions can negatively impact growth performance, meat quality, and food safety by causing oxidized lipids in food for humans (Bekhit et al., 2013; Est'evez, 2015).

Grape pomace, a by-product of winemaking, is a complex substrate that includes neutral pectic polysaccharides, acid pectic compounds, insoluble pro-anthocyanidins, lignocellulosic, structural proteins, and phenolic compounds. The last two components can be valuable organic sources when fractionated (Minjares-Fuentes et al., 2014; Abu Hafsa and Ibrahim, 2018; Ebrahimzadeh et al., 2018; Siska et al., 2018). The high levels of vitamin E, flavonoids, and proanthocyanidins in grape pomace make it an excellent source of antioxidants (AbuHafsa and Ibrahim, 2018). As a diverse blend of anthocyanidins, catechins, and their derivatives, grape pomace is a popular source of polyphenols used in health supplements and grape seeds (Muhlack et al., 2018). According to research (García Lomillo and González SanJosé, 2017; Gowman et al., 2019), GP possesses an antioxidant capacity that is twenty times stronger than fat-soluble vitamin (vitamin E) and fifty times more than vitamin C. Phenol-based compounds, known for their anti-inflammatory and antimicrobial properties, are being explored for their potential use in broiler diets to improve gastrointestinal functions and feed conversion ratio (Ao and Kim, 2019; Vacca

et al., 2020). Smet et al. (2008), and Ao and Kim (2020) have demonstrated that GP improves broiler meat quality, in addition to growth traits, antioxidant capacity, gastrointestinal health. immunological function, and nutritional digestibility (Yang et al., 2016; Abu Hafsa and Ibrahim, 2018; Dudek-Wicher et al., 2018; Heuze and Trans, 2020, Erinle et al., 2022). The current study aimed to investigate the impact of different grape pomace amounts in Muscovy duckling diets on growth traits, nutritional digestibility, cecal microbial count, blood components, immunological function, and carcass characteristics.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

All samples were taken according to the standard protocol without causing any discomfort or injury to the ducks, and the experimental procedures were carried out according to the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) of Damanhour University, Egypt.

Study site

This study was conducted at El-Bostan Farm, Faculty of Agriculture, Damanhour University.

Grape pomace

Grape pomace was harvested from a private commercial farm in Damanhour City, Beheira Governorate, Egypt. The chemical composition of GP used in the current study is presented in Table 1.

Birds, management, and experimental design

A study involved 200 unsexed Muscovy ducklings (Cairina moschata), one week old and weighing 204.6 g, randomly divided into four experimental treatments with 50 ducklings each, each treatment was subdivided into 5 replicates (10 ducklings each). Grape pomace was incorporated into the starter and grower diets at levels of 0.0 2.5, 5.0, (control), and 7.5 %. Table 2 presents The composition and chemical analysis of the experimental diet meet ducklings' designed to nutrient requirements, per (1994). as NRC Throughout the experimental period, feed water were provided ad-libitum. and Ducklings were exposed a conventional light regimen, initially 23 hours during the first week, then 20 hours from the second week until fattening. Upon arrival, ducklings were brooded at 33°C, gradually decreasing to 30, 27, and 24oC during the second, third weeks, and up to 70 days of age, respectively.

Productive performance

The study recorded duckling performance parameters weekly, including body weight (BW), body weight gain (BWG), feed consumption (FC), and feed conversion ratio (FCR). European Production Efficiency Index (EPEI) was calculated according to **Marcu** *et al.* (2013). The price of duckling and experimental diets were calculated according to the cost of the native market at the time of the experiment. The economic efficiency (EE) was represented as (net revenue/total cost) X 100 while, relative economic efficiency/economic efficiency of the control) X 100 (Zewail, 1996).

Digestibility trial

At the end of the experimental period, a digestibility trial was conducted on 40 birds to assess the apparent nutrient digestibility of experimental diets (ten from each treatment). Birds were housed individually in metabolic cages (42×50×50 cm/cage) for an 11-d trial (7 d of adaptation period + 4 d of collection period). Feed consumption and total excreta output from each bird were accurately determined during the collection period. Final nitrogen was determined according to Jackobsen et al. (1960). The proximate analysis of feed, dried excreta, and grape pomace was carried out according to the g methods A.O.A.C (2016). Nitrogenfree extract was analyzed according to Abou-Kaya and Galal (1971).

Blood collection and hematobiochemical analyses

At the end of the experimental period, ten ducks from each treatment blood samples (about 3 ml) from each treatment were collected from the brachial vein. The sample was divided into two parts, the first part was retained without heparin to obtain serum and the second part was kept in heparinized tubes, non-coagulated blood was divided into two parts, the first part was used to test shortly after collection, for estimating complete blood count (CBC), while the second part was centrifuged to obtain plasma. Both plasma and serum were stored at -20°C until the haemato-biochemical analysis.

According to Feldman et al. (2000), red blood cell count (RBCs 10⁶/mm³), white blood cell count (WBCs 10³/mm³), and the differential count were determined. Hemoglobin (Hgb) concentration and packed cell volume percentage (PCV %) were measured according to Provan et al. (2004). Glucose, total protein, albumin, total lipids, triglyceride (TG), cholesterol (chol.), high-density lipoprotein (HDL), low-density (LDL), lipoprotein aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alanine aminotransferase (ALT), uric acid. creatinine, total antioxidant capacity (TAC), glutathione peroxidase (GSH-PX), glutathione (GSH), superoxide dismutase malondialdehyde (SOD). (MDA). triiodothyronine (T3), and immunoglobulin fractions (IgG and IgM) concentration were determined using specific kits obtained from sentinel CH Milano, Italy, CAL-TECH Diagnostics, Inc., Chino, CA, USA, using a spectrophotometer (Beckman DU-530, Hanau, Germany), Diagnostic Products Corporation, Los Angeles, USA. or Reactivos GPL, Barcelona, Spain, according to kits manufacturers recommendations. The method of Leijh et al. (1986) was used to determine the phagocyte index and activity (PI and PA). Serum bactericidal activity (BA) in the Aeromonas hydrophilia strain was determined according to Rainger and Rowley (1993). Serum lysozyme activity (LA) was measured with the turbidimetric method described by Engstad et al. (1992) and the results are expressed as one unit of lysozyme activity that is defined as a reduction in absorbance at 0.001/min. The lymphocyte transformation test (LTT) was done following the method described by Balhaa et al. (1985).

Carcass characteristics

The experiment involved ten ducks, slaughtered at 70 days, and their carcass,

organs (liver, gizzard, pancreas, spleen, and thymus gland), and abdominal fat were weighed after bleeding, feather picking, and evisceration. The percentages of empty carcasses and internal organs were calculated based on the pre-slaughter weight.

Intestinal bacterial count

Ten intestinal samples from each treatment were collected for bacterial count, *Escherichia coli, proteus*, and *Lactobacillus spp.* colony-forming units using modified methods from Baurhoo *et al.* (2007).

Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed in a completely randomized design using the general linear model procedure of SAS program 9.4 (SAS Inst. Inc. Cary, NC, USA) (SAS, 2002). The percentage data of the studied traits were transformed to square root or arc sine, while bacterial counts were converted using Log transformation before statistical analysis. The experimental unit for each studied parameter was the replicate. The statistical model used was:

 $Yij = \mu + Ti + eij$

Where; Yij = an observation, μ = overall mean, Ti = effect of treatment (i = 1,2,4), and eij = experimental random error. The difference among means was determined using Duncan's new multiple range test (1955), and the significance was considered at p ≤ 0.05 .

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION Productive performance

Table 3 displays the productive performance (body weight, body weight; gain, BWG; feed consumption, FC; and feed conversion ratio, FCR), economic efficiency EE, and European production efficiency index (EPEI) of ducks fed the control diet with graded levels of GP from 7-70 days of age. Table 3 shows that ducks fed a basal diet with GP significantly $(p \le 0.05)$ improved BW, BWG, FCR, EE, REE, and EPEI compared with those for the control group. The groups fed a basal diet containing 2.5 and 5% of GP showed the best values of the previously mentioned parameters compared to the other groups. In addition, FC for ducks fed basal diet with 2.5 and 5.0 % during periods from 36 to 70 and 7 to 70

days of age were significantly decreased compared with those for the other groups. Our findings align with previous studies indicating that grape pomace supplementation in Cobb 500 broiler diets . enhances broiler BWG compared to the control group (Hajati et al., 2015; Pascariu et al., 2017; Ebrahimzadeh et al., 2018). Abdallah et al. (2017) found that adding grape seeds to broilers' diets significantly increased their final LBW and BWG. Tag El-Din et al. (2019) discovered that incorporating grape seed into broiler chick diets can enhance BW and BWG. The natural antioxidants found in grape seed (GS) or grape pomace (GP), such as phenolic substances, help protect the mucosa of the gastrointestinal tract from damage caused by oxidative stress, limit peristaltic activity, and enhance nutrient absorption in digestive disorders (Hassan et al., 2019). Grape seed or grape pomace can improve BWG by enhancing villus length, absorption surface area, enzymatic expression, and nutrient transport systems (Vacca et al., 2020). Erinle et al. (2022) discovered that broiler chickens fed GP as an antibiotic replacement showed significantly improved growth performance compared to the control group.

Apparent nutrient digestibility

Table 4 reveals that ducks fed graded levels of GP showed a significant increase in digestibility coefficient (OM, CP, EE, and NFE) values compared with the control group. However, there were no significant differences in digestibility. The digestibility values of CP coefficient and NFE significantly increased in groups fed a basal diet complemented with 2.5 and 5.0% of GP, without statistical differences. In previous reports, Brenes et al. (2010) showed that GS extract significantly improved ileal protein and extractable polyphenol digestibility in excreta birds when compared to a basal diet. Supplementation in broiler chicks significantly enhanced digestibility of crude protein and ash ratio, while diets containing GS significantly enhanced digestibility of crude protein and dry matter compared with control group (El-Kelawy et al., 2018).

Herbs, spices, and plant extracts also stimulate appetite and digestion, besides their antimicrobial effectiveness against microorganisms (Razavi *et al.*, 2019, Vacca *et al.*, 2020).

Hematological parameters

Table 5 shows significant ($p \le 0.05$) increases in RBCs, Hb, PCV, WBCs lymphocytes, and Heterophils in duckling-fed basal diets with varying levels of GP compared with control. According to the results of the present study, (1986b) reported Orii et al. that supplementation with GP significantly improved RBCs, hemoglobin, PCV, WBC, and lymphocytes compared to the control group, but did not significantly impact MCV, MCH, MCHC, monocytes, basophils, eosinophils, or heterophils.

Biochemical constituents

Table 6 shows the impact of different GP supplementation levels on duckling protein and lipid profiles at 70 days of age. The study found significant (p≤0.05) increases in globulin, total protein. γ-Globulin. triglycerides, and HDL values in ducks fed different GP levels compared with the control group. Total lipid, cholesterol, and LDL decreased significantly ($p \le 0.05$), while albumin, α -globulin, and β -globulin showed statistical differences among no experimental groups. The results of this study are in agreement with Razavi et al. (2019) who stated that Poultry's increased serum total globulin levels due to natural antioxidant-fortified diets suggest an improvement in their immune status. A diet containing GP reduced serum TC and LDL concentrations. While lipid oxidation compounds pose health risks due to mutagenic, carcinogenic, and cytotoxic properties.

Table 6 shows that ducklings fed different levels of GP showed significant ($p \le 0.05$) decreases in liver (AST and ALT) and kidney (creatinine and uric acid) function indicators compared with the control group. These results ^{coincided} with the results of Erinle *et al.* (2022) showed that dietary GP supplementation had effects on ALT and AST significantly reduced in birds fed GP diets compared to the control diet on Pekin ducks.

Data in Table 6 demonstrated that values of glucose concentration and thyroid hormones (T3 and T4) in ducklings fed different levels of GP were significantly ($p \le 0.05$) increased compared with the control group. The results herein are in accordance with those reports of Amer *et al.* (2021) and Bacou *et al.* (2021) detected that broiler chicks fed GP-supplemented diets had significantly higher serum levels of T3 and T4.

Table 7 reveals that ducklings fed varying levels of GP exhibited significant increases in TAC, GSH-PX, GSH, and SOD activity compared to the control group. The study found that ducklings fed different levels of GP (2.5 and 5.0%) significantly decreased MDA compared to the control group.

Consumers today are highly concerned about the quality of meat and meat products, demanding safer and healthier options (Ao and Kim, 2019; Erinle et al., 2022). Poultry meat's higher polyunsaturated fatty acid content increases its lipid susceptibility to lipid oxidation compared to other meats (Magne et al., 2020). The study confirmed that grape seed extract (GP) can enhance serum SOD, GSH-PX, TAC, and CAT levels while decreasing serum MDA. According to Lipinski et al. (2017), the GP can activate the antioxidant enzyme system, which could lead to a decrease in reactive free radicals and oxidative stress. Grape seed metabolites may enhance antioxidant activity in ducks (Ao and Kim, 2020) and broilers (Yang et al., 2017; Samuel et al., 2017).

Table 8 shows that ducklings fed a basal diet with graded levels of GP significantly improved their immunity indices ($p \le 0.05$). The basal diet supplemented with different levels of GP significantly improved various immune system parameters, including Immunoglobulins (IgG, IgA, and IgM), Phagocytic activity (PA), Phagocytic index (PI), lysozyme activity (LA), bactericidal activity (BA), Lymphocyte transformation test (LTT) compared to the control group. The results obtained herein are in good agreement with those of Lipinski et al. 2017

discovered that **GSE** supplementation increased serum C4, IL-2, and INF-g levels, implying suggesting GSE could improve the immune response in Pekin ducks by controlling antibodies, complements, and cytokines. Furthermore, this finding was consistent with those of Ebrahimzadeh et al. (2018) showing a noticeably higher serum IgG concentration with rising GP consumption in diet.

Carcass characteristics

Table 9 reveals that ducks fed varied amounts of GP had significantly higher dressing and total edible parts percentages than the control group. The opposite tendency appeared with abdominal fat. The groups fed 2.5, 5.0, and 7.5% of GP showed significant improvements in dressing, total edible part, and abdominal fat percentages compared to the control group. Furthermore, statistically there was no significant difference between the experimental groups in terms of liver, gizzard, pancreas, spleen, bursa, and thymus percentages. These results are coincident with the results of Tag El-Din et al. (2019) indicated that the grape seeds diet increased broiler chicks' carcass weight and total edible portions at 35 days of age. Brenes et al. (2010) noted that dietary GSE addition did not significantly impact the weights of pancreas, liver, liver, and abdominal fat in broiler chicks compared with the control group. Also, Hajati et al. discovered (2015)that dietary supplementation with GSE did not affect the percentage of edible carcass, liver, or abdominal fat in birds. Siska et al. (2018) found that GP did not significantly impact the carcass traits of commercial broilers compared with the control diet. Ebrahimzadeh et al. (2018) found that the addition of dietary grape pomace did not significantly impact the carcass, liver, heart, and abdominal fat weights of broiler chicks. El-Kelawy et al. (2018) revealed that adding natural polyphenol supplements to broiler chicken diets increased the dressing and total edible parts percentage compared to the control. In addition, Abu Hafsa and Ibrahim (2018) discovered that broilers' percentage of liver and gizzard remained unaffected

when the grape seed was supplemented to their feed, however the carcass and dressing weights did increase. In addition, neither GSE nor grape proanthocyanidins decreased the abdominal fat percentage in the broiler chicks, according to many studies (Hajati *et al.*, 2015; Yang *et al.*, 2017). According to Erinle *et al.* (2022), grape seed prevents the accumulation of fatty tissue, lowers calorie needs, and increases effort tolerance as a result of its antioxidant characteristics, which in turn enhance β -oxidation of fatty acids and increase energy utilization, resulting in a reduction of abdominal fat.

Intestinal bacterial count

Table 10 shows that ducklings fed different GP levels significantly decreased total bacterial count, E. coli, and Proteus counts than the control group, with a contrary trend noted for Lactobacillus sp. Stabilizing ileal microflora is crucial for gut health, and grape byproducts can promote beneficial bacteria growth while excluding pathogenic ones (Song et al., 2014; Brenes et al., 2016). The study observed that grape seed supplementation positively impacted ileal bacterial populations, as reported by Abu Hafsa and Ibrahim (2018) showed that broilers fed diets containing 1 to 4% grape seed showed raised ileal Lactobacillus counts but lowered E. *coli* counts. Ebrahimzadeh et al. (2018) demonstrated that grape seed extracts (GSE) increase beneficial bacteria populations in broilers, reduce pathogenic ones, and have been confirmed to have antibacterial activity against E. coli (Kumanda et al., 2019). The elevated counts of Lactobacilli in the ileum may be attributed to their capacity to phenolic consume and metabolize substances as nutritional substrates (Viveros et al., 2011; Abdel-Wareth et al., 2019). Moreover, the enhanced immunity may also reflect the favorable impact of GSE on the microorganisms in the ileum. since polyphenols have the potential to promote the release of beneficial bacteria (such as Lactobacillus), indirectly improving both immunological function and gastrointestinal health (Paszkiewicz et al., 2012).

CONCLUSION

The study's findings indicate that increasing the amount of GP in duckling diets (between 2.5% and 7.5%) had no negative effects on productive performance, nutritional digestibility, physiological status, immunological function, or economic efficiency. Nevertheless, the most optimal outcomes were observed with GP concentrations of 2.5% or 5%. GP has garnered significant interest due to its multitude of advantages as an antioxidant. Consequently, further extensive research is required to fully explore its potential.

Table (1): Chemical composition and amino acid contents of grape pomace (GP).

Chemical Analysis (%)						
Crude protein	10.0					
Crude fiber	26.11					
Crude fat	9.47					
Ash	8.01					
Total carbohydrate	34.7					
Moisture	8.7					
Amino Acids contents (%)						
Glutamic acid	1.61					
Methionine	0.21					
Tyrosine	0.39					
Phenylalanine	0.44					
Hisitidine	0.34					
Lysine	0.61					
Argnine	0.70					
Cysteine	0.22					

Asmaa Sh. ELnaggar¹ and Enass Abd El-khalek²

	Starter (7-35 d)				Grower (36- 70 d)			
Ingredient (%)	0.0	0.0 GP levels %			Control	GP levels %		
Grape pomace (GP)	0	2.5	5.0	7.5	0	2.5	5.0	7.5
Yellow corn	57.20	54.30	51.60	48.00	68.00	65.30	62.10	59.00
Soybean meal (44%)	38.00	38.00	38.00	38.10	26.60	26.60	26.70	26.74
Sunflower oil	0.85	1.90	2.80	4.15	1.40	2.40	3.53	4.65
Limestone	0.75	0.40	0.30	0.00	1.10	0.70	0.25	0.00
Dicalcium phosphate	2.40	2.10	1.50	1.45	2.10	1.70	1.60	1.30
DL-Methionine	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.12	0.11
Salt (NaCl)	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30
Vit+Min premix ^a	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30
Antifungal	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10
Total	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
	Chen	nical ana	alysis (%	on DM	[basis)			
ME, kcal/Kg ^b	2860	2859	2858	2859	3013	3015	3015	3016
Crude protein ^c	22.12	22.11	22.13	22.11	18.05	18.06	18.07	18.06
Crude fiber ^c	4.24	4.83	5.43	6.01	3.56	4.15	4.75	5.34
Ether extract ^c	3.65	4.82	5.86	6.94	4.45	5.58	6.83	7.95
Lysine ^b	1.17	1.18	1.19	1.19	0.89	0.90	0.91	0.92
Methionine ^b	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.38	0.38	0.40	0.39
Methionine + Cystine	0.79	0.84	0.89	0.94	0.64	0.69	0.74	0.79
Calcium ^b	0.93	0.93	0.95	0.97	0.94	0.95	0.94	0.96
Available phosphorus ^b	0.45	0.43	0.45	0.44	0.39	0.38	0.40	0.40

Table (2): Composition a	nd chemical	analysis of the	experimental	basal diets	containing
different levels of grape por	mace (GP) fo	r growing ducks	during starter	and grower	periods.

a Vit+Min premix. Provided per kilogram of the diet: vit. A: 7000 IU, vit. E (dl- α -tocopherol acetate: 10 IU, menadione: 2.5 mg, vit. D3: 2000 ICU, riboflavin: 2.5 mg, calcium pantothenate: 10 mg, nicotinic acid: 12 mg, Choline chloride: 300 mg, vit. B12: 4 µg, vit. B6: 5 mg, thiamine: 3 mg, folic acid: 0.50 mg and biotin: 0.02 mg, Mn: 80 mg, Zn: 70 mg, Fe: 35 mg, Cu: 8 mg and Se: 0.1 mg. b Calculated.

c Analyzed.

Ducklings, Grape pomace, Performance, Immune response

Itoma	0.0	Grape Por	nace (GP)	SEM	DValas				
Items	0.0	2.5	5.0	7.5	SEM	P value			
Body weight (g)									
7d	200	205	208	210	4.98	0.099			
35d	1000 ^b	1400 ^a	1460 ^a	1490 ^a	19.98	0.001			
70d	3010 ^c	3760 ^a	3700 ^a	3300 ^b	22.89	0.001			
		Body weig	ht gain (g)						
7-35 d	800.9 ^b	1195 ^a	1252 ^a	1200 ^a	21.98	0.002			
36-70 d	2010 ^b	2360 ^a	2240 ^a	1890 ^{ab}	19.56	0.001			
7-70 d	2810 ^b	3555 ^a	3492ª	3090 ^b	12.87	0.001			
		Feed consu	mption (g)			·			
7-35 d	4200	4020	3980	3880	15.99	0.098			
36-70 d	6000 ^a	4980 ^b	5220 ^b	5420 ^b	18.54	0.002			
7-70 d	10200 ^a	9000 ^b	9200 ^b	9300 ^b	30.98	0.001			
	Feed co	onversion ra	tio (g feed/	/g gain)					
7-35 d	5.00 ^a	3.364 ^b	3.179 ^b	3.031 ^b	12.98	0.006			
36-70 d	3.08 ^a	2.110 ^c	2.330 ^c	2.994 ^b	21.98	0.001			
7-70 d	3.63 ^a	2.532 ^c	2.635 ^c	3.010 ^b	0.987	0.002			
	Economic	efficiency a	nd produc	tion index					
EE, %	66.98 ^c	98.87 ^a	90.71 ^a	87.98 ^b	12.98	0.001			
REE, %	100.0	147.6	135.4	131.4	-	-			
EPEI, %	122.8 ^c	213.1 ^a	201.1 ^a	157.2 ^b	12.98	0.001			

Table (3): Effect of different dietary inclusion levels of grape pomace (GP) on growth performance and economic efficiency of Muscovy ducklings during 7-70 days of age.

^{a,b,c} Means in the same row followed by different letters are significantly different at (p<0.05); SEM, Standard error of mean.EE = Economic efficiency, REE = Relative economic efficiency, EPEI = European production efficiency index.

Table (4): Effect of including different dietary levels of grape pomace (GP) on apparent nutrient digestibility of Muscovy ducklings.

Itoma	0.0	Grape Po	mace (GP)	SEM	D Value					
Items	0.0	2.5	5.0	7.5	SEM	F value				
Apparent nutrient digestibility (%)										
Organic matter	69.01 ^b	73.93 ^a	72.96 ^a	71.94 ^a	2.09	0.001				
Crud protein	65.9 ^c	72.34 ^a	73.12 ^a	70.91 ^b	3.012	0.002				
Ether extract	63.87 ^b	70.09 ^a	71.12 ^a	72.09 ^a	5.098	0.001				
Crud fiber	34.98	38.17	32.07	33.17	1.879	0.876				
Nitrogen free extract	63.98 ^c	76.01 ^a	75.17 ^a	70.09 ^b	3.01	0.001				

 a,b,c Means in the same row followed by different letters are significantly different at (p<0.05), SEM: Standard error of mean.

Asmaa Sh. ELnaggar¹ and Enass Abd El-khalek²

Items	0.0	Grape Po	omace (GP)	SEM	DVala	
		2.5	5.0	7.5	SEM	r value
RBCs, $(10^{6}/\text{cmm}^{3})$	3.87 ^c	4.98 ^a	4.65 ^a	4.73 ^a	0.765	0.002
Hb, (g/100 ml)	9.48 ^b	10.45 ^a	9.98 ^a	10.87^{a}	0.987	0.001
PCV, %	26.98 ^b	32.56 ^a	33.17 ^a	34.09 ^a	3.09	0.002
WBCs, $(10^{3}/\text{cmm}^{3})$	26.98 ^b	29.09 ^a	30.02 ^a	28.98^{a}	4.09	0.001
Lymphocytes, (%)	60.09^{b}	65.98 ^a	70.09 ^a	67.98^{a}	3.98	0.002
Heterophils, (%)	25.87 ^b	29.98 ^a	28.87^{a}	27.89 ^a	2.24	0.003

Table (5): Effect of including different dietary levels of grape pomace (GP) levels on hematological parameters of Muscovy ducklings.

^{a,b,c} Means in the same row followed by different letters are significantly different at (p<0.05), SEM: Standard error of mean, RBCs: red blood cells count, Hgb: hemoglobin, PCV: packed cell volume, WBCs: white blood cell count.

Table (6): Effect of different dietary inclusion levels of grape pomace (GP) on biochemical
blood constituents and some immunological indices of Muscovy ducklings.

Itama	0.0	Grape Po	omace (GP)	SEM	Dualas	
Items	0.0	2.5	5.0	7.5	SEM	P value
Total protein (g/100 ml)	5.87 ^b	6.26 ^a	6.34 ^a	6.12 ^a	0.128	0.001
Albumin (g/100 ml)	3.21	3.28	3.61	3.28	0.230	0.076
Globulin (g/100 ml)	2.66^{b}	2.98^{a}	2.73 ^a	2.84 ^a	0.765	0.002
α–globulin, (µg/dl)	0.671	0.612	0.601	0.603	0.114	0.087
β -globulin, (µg/dl)	0.712	0.509	0.612	0.598	0.176	0.089
γ -globulin, (µg/dl)	1.277 ^b	1.859 ^a	1.517 ^a	1.639 ^a	0.001	0.001
Total lipid (mg/100 ml)	389.9 ^a	265.9 ^c	287.7°	350.6 ^b	23.9	0.002
TG. (mg/100 ml)	80.92 ^c	99.87 ^a	93.87 ^a	89.98 ^b	5.98	0.001
Chol. (mg/100 ml)	220.98 ^a	176.54 ^c	166.98 ^c	198.54 ^b	11.98	0.0001
HDL (mg/100 ml)	37.91 ^b	41.89 ^a	44.87 ^a	45.89 ^a	2.09	0.001
LDL (mg/100 ml)	166.88 ^a	114.67 ^b	103.33 ^b	134.65 ^b	12.87	0.0002
AST (U/L)	62.14 ^a	58.87^{b}	59.32 ^b	60.21 ^b	2.89	0.002
ALT (U/L)	64.55	65.90	61.67	59.91	3.01	0.098
Alk P, (U/100ml)	11.93	12.77	13.98	13.89	2.98	0.087
Uric acid (mg/100 ml)	2.32 ^a	1.97 ^b	1.67 ^b	1.79 ^b	0.087	0.002
Creatinine (mg/dl)	1.05 ^a	0.788^{b}	0.976^{b}	0.887^{b}	0.098	0.003
Glucose (mg/100 ml)	190.8 ^b	230.4 ^a	225.8 ^a	230.7 ^a	12.98	0.001
T ₃ (ng / 100 ml)	2.65 ^c	4.01 ^a	4.11 ^a	3.67 ^b	0.098	0.001
T ₄ (ng / 100 ml)	5.89 ^c	8.98 ^a	9.01 ^a	7.98 ^b	0.876	0.002

^{a,b,c} Means in the same row followed by different letters are significantly different at (p<0.05), SEM: Standard error of the mean, TG: triglycerides, Chol.: total cholesterol, HDL: high-density lipoprotein, LDL: low-density lipoprotein, AST: aspartate aminotransferase, ALT: alanine aminotransferase, Alk. P =Alkaline phosphatase; T3: triiodothyronine,T4:Thyroxine.

Ducklings, Grape pomace, Performance, Immune response

Items	0.0	Grape P	omace (GP)	SEM	Р	
	0.0	2.5	5.0	7.5	SENI	value
TAC, (Mmol/dl)	355.9 ^b	430.9 ^a	448.5 ^a	450.1 ^a	12.09	0.002
GSH-Px, (Mmol/dl)	834.8 ^b	945. 3 ^a	990.2 ^a	987.5 ^a	23.90	0.001
GSH, (Mmol/dl)	0.311 ^b	0.876^{a}	0.911 ^a	0.789^{a}	0.098	0.001
SOD, (U/L)	167.9 ^b	189.9 ^a	199.2 ^a	193.5 ^a	11.98	0.002
MDA (Mmol/dl)	198.9 ^a	156.9 ^b	145.9 ^b	150.1 ^b	24.91	0.002

Table (7): Effect of different dietary inclusion levels of grape pomace (GP) on indicators of antioxidative status of Muscovy ducklings.

 a,b,c Means in the same row followed by different letters are significantly different at (p<0.05), SEM: Standard error of mean, TAC: total antioxidant capacity, GSH-Px: glutathione peroxidase, GSH: glutathione SOD: superoxide dismutase, MDA: malondialdehyde.

Table (8): Effect of different dietary inclusion levels of grape pomace (GP) on some immunological indices of Muscovy ducklings.

Itoma	0.0	Grape P	omace (GP)	SEM	Р	
Items	0.0	2.5	5.0	7.5	SEM	Value
IgG (mg/100 ml)	39.76 ^b	44.89 ^a	46.94 ^a	42.98 ^a	2.89	0.001
IgM (mg/100 ml)	18.09 ^b	22.87 ^a	23.91 ^a	24.11 ^a	1.99	0.002
IgA (mg/100 ml)	15.94 ^c	18.56 ^a	19.01 ^a	18.76 ^a	0.987	0.001
PA, (%)	13.87 ^b	16.98 ^a	17.76 ^a	18.16 ^a	1.98	0.002
PI, (%)	1.09 ^b	1.28 ^a	1.22 ^a	1.26 ^a	0.098	0.001
BA	7.98b	12.96a	13.03a	12.99a	1.08	0.002
LA	23.98 ^b	28.77 ^a	27.84 ^a	26.94 ^a	2.98	0.003
LTT	20.76°	29.76^{a}	28.65^{a}	24.78^{b}	2.76	0.001

^{a,b,c} Means in the same row followed by different letters are significantly different at (p<0.05), SEM: Standard error of mean, IgG: immunoglobulin G, IgM: immunoglobulin M. IgA: immunoglobulin A; PA: phagocytic activity, PI: phagocytic index, BA: bactericidal activity, LA: lysozyme activity, LTT: lymphocyte transformation test.

Table (9): Effect of different dietary inclusion levels of grape pomace (GP) on carcass characteristics, relative weight of immune organs of Muscovy ducklings.

Itoma	0.0	Grape P	omace (GP	SEM	Р	
Items	0.0	2.5	5.0	7.5	SEIVI	Value
Dressing	61.78 ^c	73.87 ^a	70.89 ^a	66.65 ^b	8.96	0.001
Total edible parts	64.87 ^c	69.83 ^a	69.09 ^a	67.43 ^b	3.09	0.008
Liver	2.08	1.99	2.11	2.01	0.098	0.092
Gizzard	2.44	2.05	2.35	2.41	0.097	0.087
Pancreas	0.278	0.298	0.301	0.303	0.098	0.067
Abdominal Fat	0.998^{a}	0.691b	0.560b	0.422b	0.0345	0.002
	L	ymphoid o	rgans (%)			
Spleen	0.411	0.390	0.404	0.412	0.012	0.087
Thymus	0.023	0.045	0.021	0.018	0.045	0.098
Busa	0.031	0.039	0.026	0.025	0.023	0.078

^{a,b} Means in the same row followed by different letters are significantly different at (p<0.05); SEM, Standard error of mean.

	0.0	Grape Pomace (GP) levels				
Items		%			SEM	P Value
		2.5	5.0	7.5		
Intestinal bacterial count						
TBC (cfu $x10^6$)	3.98 ^a	2.09 ^b	2.11 ^b	2.19 ^b	0.987	0.001
E. Coli (cfu $x10^3$)	1.55 ^a	0.987 ^b	0.876 ^b	0.801 ^b	0.065	0.002
Proteus (cfu $x10^3$)	0.993 ^a	0.411 ^b	0.409 ^b	0.398 ^b	0.087	0.003
Lactobacillus spp. (cfu $x10^3$)	1.28 ^b	2.87 ^a	2.98 ^a	2.76 ^a	0.098	0.001

Table (10): Effect of different dietary inclusion levels of grape pomace (GP) on intestinal bacterial count of Muscovy ducklings.

^{a,b}, Means in the same row followed by different letters are significantly different at (p<0.05), SEM: Standard error of mean, TBC = Total bacterial count

REFERENCES

- Abdel-Wareth, A. A., Kehraus, S., & Südekum, K. H. 2019.Peppermint and its respective active component in diets of broiler chickens: growth performance, viability, economics, meat physicochemical properties, and carcass characteristics. Poultry Science, 98(9), 3850-3859.
- Abdallah, F.M., Ali, H.A., Hernandey, S.A. 2017.Effect of dietary supplementation of grape seed extract on the growth performance, lipid profile, antioxidant status and immune response of broiler chickens. Animal, Volume 11, Issue 5.
- Abou-Raya, A.K., and Galal, A.G.H.1971. Evaluation of poultry feed indigestion trails with reference to some factors involved. U.A.R, J.Anim.Prod. 11.207.
- Abu Hafsa, S.H. and S.A. Ibrahim/ 2018. Effect of dietary polyphenol-rich grape seed on growth performance, antioxidant capacity and ileal microflora in broiler chicks. J Anim Physiol Anim Nutr., 102 (1): 268–275.
- Ahn, J., Grün, I. U., & Fernando, L. N. 2002. Antioxidant properties of natural plant extracts containing polyphenolic compounds in cooked ground beef. Journal of Food Science, 67(4), 1364-1369.
- Amer, S. A., Mohamed, W. A., Gharib, H.
 S., Al-Gabri, N. A., Gouda, A.,
 Elabbasy, M. T., ... & Omar, A. E.
 2021. Changes in the growth, ileal digestibility, intestinal histology,

behavior, fatty acid composition of the breast muscles, and blood biochemical parameters of broiler chickens by dietary inclusion of safflower oil and vitamin C. BMC Veterinary Research, 17, 1-18.

- AOAC International 2016. Guidelines for Standard Method Performance Requirements AOAC Official Methods of Analysis. Appendix F, 1-18. http://www.eoma.aoac.org/app_f.pdf
- Ao, X., & Kim, I. H. 2019.Effects of astaxanthin produced by Phaffia rhodozyma on growth performance, antioxidant activities, and meat quality in Pekin ducks. Poultry Science, 98(10), 4954-4960.
- Ao, X., & Kim, I. H. 2020. Effects of grape seed extract on performance, immunity, antioxidant capacity, and meat quality in Pekin ducks. Poultry Science, 99(4), 2078-2086.
- Bacou, E., Walk, C., Rider, S., Litta, G.,
 & Perez-Calvo, E. 2021. Dietary oxidative distress: A review of nutritional challenges as models for poultry, swine and fish. Antioxidants, 10(4), 525.
- Balhaa, G,R., Jean,M, P., Bodin, G., Abdullah, A., Hiron, H. 1985. Lymphoblastic transformation assay of sheep peripheral blood lymphocytes: a new rapid and easy-to-read technique. Comp Immunol Microbiol Infect Dis. 8(3-4): 311-8.
- Baurhoo, B., Phillip, L., & Ruiz-Feria, C.
 A. 2007.Effects of purified lignin and mannan oligosaccharides on intestinal integrity and microbial populations in the

ceca and litter of broiler chickens. Poultry science, 86(6), 1070-1078.

- Bekhit, A. E. D. A., Hopkins, D. L., Fahri,
 F. T., & Ponnampalam, E. N.
 2013.Oxidative processes in muscle systems and fresh meat: Sources, markers, and remedies. Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety, 12(5), 565-597.
- Brenes, A., Montoro, A. V., Cambrodón, I. G., Centeno, C., Calixto, F. D. S., & Arija, I. 2010. Effect grape seed extract on growth performance, protein and polyphenol digestibilities, and antioxidant activity in chickens. Spanish Journal of Agricultural Research, (2), 326-333.
- Brenes, A., Viveros, A., Chamorro, S., & Arija., I. 2016. Use of polyphenol-rich grape by-products in monogastric nutrition. A review. Animal Feed Science and Technology Volume 211, Pages 1-17.
- Brenes, A., Viveros, A., Goñi, I., Centeno, C., Sáyago-Ayerdy, S. G., Arija, I., & Saura-Calixto, F. 2008. Effect of grape pomace concentrate and vitamin E on digestibility of polyphenols and antioxidant activity in chickens. Poultry science, 87(2), 307-316.
- Dudek-Wicher, R. K., Junka, A., & Bartoszewicz, M. 2018. The influence of antibiotics and dietary components on gut microbiota. Gastroenterology Review/ Przegląd Gastroenterologiczny, 13(2), 85-92.
- **Duncan, D. B. 1955.** Multiple range and multiple F tests. Biometrics, 11: 1-42.
- Ebrahimzadeh, S. K., Navidshad, B., Farhoomand, P., & Aghjehgheshlagh, F. M. 2018. Effects of grape pomace and vitamin E on performance, antioxidant status, immune response, gut morphology and histopathological responses in broiler chickens. South African Journal of Animal Science, 48(2), 324-336.
- El-Kelawy, M. I., ELnaggar, A. S., & Abdelkhalek, E. 2018. Productive performance, blood parameters and immune response of broiler chickens supplemented with grape seed and medicago sativa as natural sources of

polyphenols. Egyptian Poultry Science Journal, 38(1), 269-288.

- Engstad, R. E., Robertsen, B., & Frivold, E. 1992. Yeast glucan induces increase in lysozyme and complement-mediated haemolytic activity in Atlantic salmon blood. Fish & Shellfish Immunology, 2(4), 287-297.
- Erinle, T. J., Oladokun, S., MacIsaac, J., Rathgeber, B., & Adewole, D. 2022. Dietary grape pomace–effects on growth performance, intestinal health, blood parameters, and breast muscle myopathies of broiler chickens. Poultry Science, 101(1), 101519.
- **Estévez, M. 2015.**Oxidative damage to poultry: from farm to fork. Poultry science, 94(6), 1368-1378.
- **FAO-STATFAO 2010.** statistical data base http://www.fao.org .
- Feldman, B. F., Zinkl, J. G., & Jain, N. C. 2000. Schalm's Veterinary Hematology. 5th Edition, Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, 1120-1124.
- García-Lomillo, J., & González-SanJosé, M. L. 2017. Applications of wine pomace in the food industry: Approaches and functions. Comprehensive reviews in food science and food safety, 16(1), 3-22.
- Gowman, A. C., Picard, M. C., Rodriguez-Uribe, A., Misra, M., Khalil, H., Thimmanagari, M., & Mohanty, A. K. 2019.Physicochemical Analysis of Apple and Grape Pomaces. BioResources, 14(2).
- Grashorn, M. A. 2007. Functionality of poultry meat. Journal of Applied Poultry Research, 16(1), 99-106.
- Hajati, H., Hassanabadi, A., Golian, A.
 G., Nassiri, M. H., & Nassiri, M. R.
 2015. The effect of grape seed extract and vitamin C feed supplements carcass characteristics, gut morphology and ileal microflora in broiler chickens exposed to chronic heat stress. Journal: Iranian Journal Of Appleid Animal Science, Volume:5 | Issue:1 Page(s): 155-165.
- Hao, R., Li, Q., Zhao, J., Li, H., Wang,
 W., & Gao, J. 2015. Effects of grape seed procyanidins on growth performance, immune function and

antioxidant capacity in weaned piglets. Livestock Science, 178, 237-242.

- Hassan, Y. I., Kosir, V., Yin, X., Ross, K., & Diarra, M. S. 2019. Grape pomace as a promising antimicrobial alternative in feed: A critical review. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 67(35), 9705-9718.
- Heuzé. V and Tran G., 2020. Grape pomace. Feedipedia, a programme by INRAE, CIRAD, AFZ and FAO. https://www.feedipedia.org/node/6 91. Accessed 25 May 2022
- Ikusika, O. O., Falowo, A. B., Mpendulo, C. T., Zindove, T. J., & Okoh, A. I. 2020. Effect of strain, sex and slaughter weight on growth performance, carcass yield and quality of broiler meat. Open Agriculture, 5(1), 607-616.
- Iqbal, Z., Kamran, Z., Sultan, J. I., Ali, A., Ahmad, S., Shahzad, M. I., ... & Sohail, M. U. 2015. Replacement effect of vitamin E with grape polyphenols on antioxidant status, immune, and organs histopathological responses in broilers from 1-to 35-d age. Journal of Applied Poultry Research, 24(2), 127-134.
- Jakobsen, P., Gertov, E., Nilson, S.H. 1960. Digestibility traits with poultry. 1. The digestive tract of the hen and the technical problems encountered in digestibility trails. Beret. Forsögslab. København, 322-56:1-43.
- Kamboh, A. A., Arain, M. A., Mughal, M.
 J., Zaman, A., Arain, Z. M., & Soomro,
 A. H.2015. Flavonoids: Health promoting phytochemicals for animal production-a review. J. Anim. Health Prod, 3(1), 6-13.
- Kaz,i R., Muhammad, T.H., Rokeya, A,M., Mehedi, H., Rejaul, I., Ismail, H., Sourendra N.S.,and Mohammad, R.I. 2022. Role of different growth enhacers as alternative to in feed antibiotics in poultry industry. Front. Vet. Sci. Vol 8, (1-9)
- Kumanda, C., Mlambo, V., & Mnisi, C. M. (2019). From landfills to the dinner table: Red grape pomace waste as a nutraceutical for broiler chickens. Sustainability, 11(7), 1931.

- Lanari, M. C., Hewavitharana, A. K., Becu, C., & De Jong, S. 2004. Effect of dietary tocopherols and tocotrienols on the antioxidant status and lipid stability of chicken. Meat science, 68(2), 155-162.
- Leigh, P.C.J., Van Furth, R. and Zwet, T.L. 1986.In vitro determination of phagocytosis and intracellular killing by polymorphonuclear neutrophils and mononuclear phagocytes. In: Weir, D.M., Ed., Handbook of Experimental Immunology, 4th Edition, Blackwell Scientific Publications, 46, 1-46.
- Lipiński, K., Mazur, M., Z Antoszkiewicz, Z. 2017.Polyphenols in monogastric nutrition -a review. Ann. Anim. Sci., Vol. 17, No. 141–58
- Magne, F., Gotteland, M., Gauthier, L., Zazueta, A., Pesoa, S., Navarrete, P., & Balamurugan, R. 2020.The firmicutes/bacteroidetes ratio: a relevant marker of gut dysbiosis in obese patients?. Nutrients, 12(5), 1474.
- Marcu, D., Damian, G., Cosma, C., & Cristea, V. 2013. Gamma radiation effects on seed germination, growth and pigment content, and ESR study of induced free radicals in maize (Zea mays). Journal of biological physics, 39, 625-634.
- Minjares-Fuentes, R., Femenia, A., Garau, M. C., Meza-Velázquez, J. A., Simal, S., & Rosselló, C. 2014. Ultrasound-assisted extraction of pectins from grape pomace using citric acid: A response surface methodology approach. Carbohydrate polymers, 106, 179-189.
- Muhlack, R. A., Potumarthi, R., & Jeffery, D. W. 2018. Sustainable wineries through waste valorization: A review of grape marc utilisation for value-added products. Waste management, 72, 99-118.
- National Research Council. 1994. Nutrient Requirements of Poultry. 9th rev. ed. National Academy Press, Washington, DC.
- Orji B.I., Okeke G.C. & Ojo O.O., 1986b, Hematological studies on the Guinea fowl (Numida meleagris Pallas): II. Effect of

age, sex and time of bleeding on protein and electrolyte levels in blood serum of guinea fowls. Nig. J. Anim. Prod. 13, 100-106

- Pascariu, S. M., Pop, I. M., Simeanu, D., Pavel, G., & Solcan, C. 2017. Effects of wine by-products on growth performance, complete blood count and total antioxidant status in broilers. Revista Brasileira de Ciência Avícola, 19(2), 191-202.
- Paszkiewicz, M., Budzyńska, A., Różalska, B., & Sadowska, B. 2012. Immunomodulacyjna rola polifenoli roślinnych The immunomodulatory role of plant polyphenols. Postepy Hig Med Dosw (Online), 66, 637-646.
- Provan, D., Charles R. J., Singar, Baglin, T., & Dokal. 2004. Oxford Handbook of clinical Haematology. (1-16).
- Rai-el-Balhaa, G., Pellerin, L., Bodin, G., Abdullah, A., & Hiron, H. 1985. Lymphoblastic transformation assay of sheep peripheral blood lymphocytes: a new rapid and easy-to-read technique. Comparative immunology, microbiology and infectious diseases, 8(3-4), 311-318.
- Rainger, G. E., & Rowley, A. F. 1993. Antibacterial activity in the serum and mucus of rainbow trout, Oncorhynchus mykiss, following immunisation with Aeromonas salmonicida. Fish & Shellfish Immunology, 3(6), 475-482.
- Samuel, K. G., Wang, J., Yue, H. Y., Wu,
 S. G., Zhang, H. J., Duan, Z. Y., & Qi,
 G. H.2017. Effects of dietary gallic acid supplementation on performance, antioxidant status, and jejunum intestinal morphology in broiler chicks. Poultry Science, 96(8), 2768-2775.
- SAS 2002. Statistical Analysis System: Version 9.0. SAS Institute Inc., Cary.
- Samuel, K.G., Wang,J., Yue, Y.H., Wu,S.G., Zhang, H.J., Duan, Z.Y., Qi, G.H. 2017. Effects of dietary gallic acid supplementation on performance, antioxidant status, and jejunum intestinal morphology in broiler chicks. Poultry Science Association Inc. Vol 96, 2768-2775.

- Sihvo, H. K., Immonen, K., & Puolanne, E. 2014. Myodegeneration with fibrosis and regeneration in the pectoralis major muscle of broilers. Veterinary pathology, 51(3), 619-623.
- Siska, A., Sang, J.O., Husabbir, A., Jayant, L.2018. Supplementation of grape pomace (Vitis vinifera) in broilers diet and its effect on growth performance, apparent total tract digestibility of nutrients, blood profile, and meat quality. Animal Nutrition 4(2).
- Smet, K., Raes, K., Huyghebaert, G., Haak, L., Arnouts, S., & De Smet, S. 2008. Lipid and protein oxidation of broiler meat as influenced by dietary natural antioxidant supplementation. Poultry science, 87(8), 1682-1688.
- Song, J., Xiao, K., Ke, Y. L., Jiao, L. F., Hu, C. H., Diao, Q. Y., ... & Zou, X. T. 2014.Effect of a probiotic mixture on intestinal microflora, morphology, and barrier integrity of broilers subjected to heat stress. Poultry science, 93(3), 581-588.
- Tag El-Din, T. H., Awad, A. L., & Sherief, A. A. 2019. Effect of using grape seeds on productive performance and nutrients utilization for broiler chicks during fattening period. Journal of Animal and Poultry Production, 10(2), 29-34.
- Vacca, M., Celano, G., Calabrese, F. M., Portincasa, P., Gobbetti, M., & De Angelis, M.2020. The controversial role of human gut lachnospiraceae. Microorganisms, 8(4), 573.
- Viveros, A., Chamorro, S., Pizarro, M., Arija, I., Centeno, C., & Brenes, A. 2011. Effects of dietary polyphenol-rich grape products on intestinal microflora and gut morphology in broiler chicks. Poultry science, 90(3), 566-578.
- Wang, X., Jiang, G., Kebreab, E., Yu, Q.,
 Li, J., Zhang, X., ... & Dai, Q. 2019.
 Effects of dietary grape seed polyphenols supplementation during late gestation and lactation on antioxidant status in serum and immunoglobulin content in colostrum

of multiparous sows. Journal of Animal Science, 97(6), 2515-2523.

- Yang, J. Y., Zhang, H. J., Wang, J., Wu,
 S. G., Yue, H. Y., Jiang, X. R., & Qi, G.
 H. 2017.Effects of dietary grape proanthocyanidins on the growth performance, jejunum morphology and plasma biochemical indices of broiler chicks. animal, 11(5), 762-770.
- **Zewail, A. H. 1996.**Femtochemistry: recent progress in studies of dynamics and control of reactions and their transition states. The Journal of Physical Chemistry, 100(31), 12701-12724.
- Zhang, C., Luo, J., Yu, B., Zheng, P., Huang, Z., Mao, X., ... & Chen, D. 2015.Dietary resveratrol supplementation improves meat quality of finishing pigs through changing muscle fiber characteristics and antioxidative status. Meat Science, 102, 15-21.
- Zhao, J. X., Li, Q., Zhang, R. X., Liu, W.
 Z., Ren, Y. S., Zhang, C. X., & Zhang,
 J. X. 2018. Effect of dietary grape pomace on growth performance, meat quality and antioxidant activity in ram lambs. Animal Feed Science and Technology, 236, 76-85.

الملخص العربى

تأثير اضافة مستويات مختلفة من تفل العنب علي الاداء الانتاجي خصائص الدم البيوكيمياوية والاستجابة المناعية لسلالة البط المسكوفي

> أسماء شوقي النجار 1؛ إيناس عبد الخالق محمود² ¹قسم الإنتاج الحيواني والداجني – كليه الزراعة – جامعة دمنهور ² قسم إنتاج الدواجن- كلية الزراعة (الشاطبي)- جامعة الأسكندرية

أجريت هذه الدراسة في وحدة بحوث الدواجن بمزرعه البستان، قسم الانتاج الحيواني والداجني، كلية الزراعة جامعة دمنهور. هدفت الدراسة إلى تقييم تأثير ادراج مستويات مختلفة من تفل العنب على أداء النمو، والكفاءة الاقتصادية, والصفات البيوكيميائية والهيماتولوجية للدم والاستجابة المناعية عند عمر 70 يوما لسلالة البط المسكوفي Cairina والصفات البيوكيميائية والهيماتولوجية للدم والاستجابة المناعية عند عمر 70 يوما لسلالة البط المسكوفي moschata والصفات البيوكيميائية والهيماتولوجية للدم والاستجابة المناعية عند عمر 70 يوما لسلالة البط المسكوفي معتوريات معتوليات البيوكيميائية والهيماتولوجية للدم والاستجابة المناعية عند عمر 70 يوما لسلالة البط المسكوفي عمر moschata والصفات البيوكيميائية والهيماتولوجية عدد مائتان من كتاكيت البط المسكوفي غير المجنسة عمر 7 أيام و التي وزعت عشوائيا علي خمسة معاملات بكل منها عدد 36 كتكوت موزعة علي سته مكررات بكل مكرر سته طيور. إستخدمت المجموعة الأولي للمقارنة (كنترول) بينما غذيت المعاملات رقم 2, 3 4 علي علائق ادرج فيها تفل العنب بمستويات 2.5 %. 5.0 %

أظهرت النتائج حدوث زيادة معنوية في وزن الجسم الحي ومعدل الزيادة الوزنية للجسم وحدوث انخفاض في استهلاك العلف وكذلك حدوث تحسن في الكفاءة الغذائية والكفاءة الاقتصادية ووزن الذبيحة في المجموعات التي غذيت علي مستويات مختلفة من تفل العنب مقارنة بمجموعة الكنترول.

أظهرت النتائج أيضا حدوث زيادة معنوية في مستوي بروتينات الدم والجلوبيولينات المناعية في المجموعات المضاف لها تقل العنب بمستوياتها المختلفة مقارنة بمجموعة الكنترول. بينما كان هناك انخفاض معنوي في مستوي الدهون الكلية في الدم و الكوليسترول وكذلك انخفاض مستوي LDL في المجموعات المغذاة علي تفل العنب مقارنة بمجموعة الكنترول. سجلت زيادة في مستوي جلوكوز الدم وكذلك زيادة في تركيزات هورمونات الغدة الدرقية وأيضا تحسن في مستوي انزيمات الاكسدة المختلفة في سيرم الدم في المجموعات المغذاة علي تفل العنب مقارنة بمجموعة الكنترول. ولإضافات المستخدمة من وظائف الكبد والكلى مقارنة بالكنترول من ناحية أخرى أدت هذه الإضافات الي زيادة معنوي في عدد كرات الدم البيضاء ، كرات الدم البيضاء الليمفاوية، زيادة جلوبيولين السيرم والجاما جلوبيولين بالمقارنة مع مجموعه الكنترول

أدت جميع الإضافات إلى زيادة مستوى انزيم (SOD) و الجلوتاثيون(GSH)والجلوتاثيون بيروكسيديز والقدرة المضادة للأكسدة والنشاط البلعمى ودليل النشاط البلعمى ومعامل تحويل الخلايا الليمفاوية ونشاط مقاومة البكتريا والنشاط الليسوسومى بالمقارنة مع مجموعه الكنترول.

أدت جميع الإضافات إلى زيادة الجلوبيولينات المناعية (IgG - IgM - IgA) بالمقارنة مع مجموعه الكنترول. كما أدت جميع الإضافات إلى حدوث انخفاض في أعداد البكتريا الممرضة في الامعاء مقارنة بالكنترول .

مما سبقُ يتضح أنَّ ادراج تفل العنبُ إلَّي علائق البُّط المسكُوفي Cairina moschata بمُستويات 2.5, 5.0 % ادت الي تحسن في الاداء الانتاجي والاقتصادي والفسيولوجي والمناعي تحت ظروف إجراء هذه الدراسة.